In Confidence

Office of the Minister for the Environment

Chair, Cabinet

COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Hansen Road and Moy Estate) Amendment Order 2023

Proposal

- This paper seeks authorisation for submission to the Executive Council of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Hansen Road and Moy Estate) Amendment Order 2023 (the Amendment Order).
- The Amendment Order amends the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects Order 2020 to include as projects referred to an expert consenting panel (panel):
 - 2.1 No. 1 Hansen Road Limited's Worker Accommodation—Hansen Road project (Schedule 81)
 - 2.2 Wakefield Group Holdings Limited's Moy Estate project (Schedule 82).

Executive Summary

- The COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020 (FTCA) is one of the Government's temporary actions to support New Zealand's economic recovery from COVID-19. The FTCA enables any person to apply to me, as Minister for the Environment, to fast-track certain approvals required under the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) for their project.
- Projects that I accept are referred to a panel by an amendment to the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects Order 2020, subject to Cabinet's agreement. This allows an applicant to lodge resource consent applications and/or notices of requirement for a designation with the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for consideration by a panel.
- Before granting RMA approvals a panel must conduct a merit-based assessment of the project's actual and potential effects and test these against the requirements of both Part 2 of the RMA and the purpose of the FTCA.
- 6 I received applications from:
 - 6.1 No. 1 Hansen Road Limited (HRL) to fast-track the Worker Accommodation— Hansen Road project
 - 6.2 Wakefield Group Holdings Limited (WGHL) to fast-track the Moy Estate project.
- 7 The Worker Accommodation–Hansen Road project is a mixed-use development at Frankton, Otago providing 400–600 residential units to be used as temporary worker accommodation, communal facilities for the local workforce and retail and other

- commercial space. The Moy Estate project is a residential development providing approximately 143 stand-alone and semi-detached residential units on a 4.6-hectare site at Ōtaki, in Kāpiti Coast District.
- I sought written comments on the applications from the relevant local authorities, relevant Ministers prescribed by the FTCA and from Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency (Waka Kotahi).
- 9 For the Worker Accommodation–Hansen Road project, I also sought comments from Queenstown Airport Corporation (QAC).
- For both projects I considered all comments received and the reports prepared under section 17 of the FTCA. I also requested and considered further information from the applicant for the Worker Accommodation—Hansen Road project.
- I have accepted both projects for referral as I am satisfied they each meet the eligibility criteria specified in section 18 of the FTCA. Each project will help achieve the FTCA's purpose by generating employment and increasing housing supply.
- 12 I consider both projects will likely progress faster using the FTCA processes than if consents were sought through standard RMA processes, and any actual and potential effects on the environment, together with any measures to mitigate, offset or compensate for adverse effects, can be considered and determined by a panel subject to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the purpose of the FTCA.
- I now seek authorisation for submission of the Amendment Order to the Executive Council. The Amendment Order enables HRL and WGHL to apply via the EPA to a panel for the relevant approvals needed under the RMA for their respective projects, in accordance with the process in the FTCA.

Background

- The FTCA is one of the Government's temporary actions to support New Zealand's economic recovery from COVID-19, by promoting employment and supporting ongoing investment. Access to the FTCA referrals process remains in place until the FTCA is repealed in July 2023.
- The FTCA enables any person to apply to me, in my role as Minister for the Environment, to access the fast-track consenting process for their project. If I accept an application, the project is referred by Order in Council specifically, via an amendment that adds the project as a new schedule to the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects Order 2020 subject to Cabinet's agreement. This allows an applicant to lodge a resource consent application or notice of requirement for a designation with the EPA for consideration and decision by a panel.
- Panels are appointed by Panel Convener Judge Laurie Newhook and are constituted according to the specific representation, skills and knowledge required for the project under consideration. Before a panel grants any RMA approvals for the project it must, among other things, consider comments from invited parties on the applications for these approvals, assess the project's actual and potential effects, and test these effects against the requirements of Part 2 of the RMA and the purpose of the FTCA. As part of this process the panel may seek further information from a range of parties, including those invited to comment.

- 17 I receive weekly updates on the projects accessing the fast-track provisions and their progress.
- As of 30 May 2023, 167 applications have been made under the FTCA to refer projects to a panel, of which:
 - 18.1 76 projects have been referred and Orders in Council gazetted. Details are in Appendix one. In summary, for these projects:
 - 18.1.1 36 have been granted RMA approvals by a panel (Kapuni Green, Ariki Tahi Sugarloaf Wharf, Botanic Riverhead are under appeal)
 - 18.1.2 2 has had applications for RMA approvals declined by a panel (Flints Park Ladies Mile is under appeal)
 - 18.1.3 14 are under active panel consideration
 - 18.1.4 22 have yet to lodge RMA applications
 - 18.1.5 2 have subsequently decided not to seek RMA approvals through the panel process or have withdrawn from a panel process before it was completed.
 - 18.2 13 projects have been accepted for referral and are awaiting Orders in Council. This includes the projects that are the subject of this paper
 - 18.3 28 referral applications are being processed and are yet to receive referral decisions
 - 18.4 35 referral applications have been declined for a range of reasons including not meeting the purpose of the FTCA and it being more appropriate for them to go through the standard RMA consenting process
 - 18.5 15 referral applications have been withdrawn by the applicants.
- I am not required to make referral decisions on projects listed in Schedule 2 of the FTCA. Authorised persons or entities for these projects may lodge their applications for RMA approvals with the EPA at any time while the relevant FTCA provisions are in force. An update on the listed projects is in Appendix two.

Project for referral: Worker Accommodation-Hansen Road

- HRL applied to use the fast-track consenting process for the Worker Accommodation—Hansen Road project. The project is to construct and operate a mixed-use development at 1 Hansen Road, Frankton, Otago, consisting of seven or eight buildings between 10 and 18 metres (three to six storeys) high that will provide 400—600 residential units to be used as temporary worker accommodation, and communal facilities, for the local workforce. Some of the buildings include ground-floor retail/commercial space, and the project also includes construction of three-waters infrastructure and internal roads, parking areas, and pedestrian and cycle paths.
- The project involves activities such as clearing vegetation, earthworks, discharging stormwater and contaminants, constructing and operating buildings for residential, retail and other commercial purposes, roads and infrastructure, and landscaping and planting. The project requires land use consents under the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan and may require discharge and water permits under the Regional

Plan: Water for Otago and discharge permits under the Regional Plan: Air for Otago (ORP).

- I sought written comments on the referral application from the relevant local authorities – Queenstown Lakes District Council (QLDC) and Otago Regional Council (ORC), from relevant Ministers as determined by section 21(6) of the FTCA, and from Waka Kotahi and QAC.
- To better understand the investment certainty of this project, I sought further information under section 22 of the FTCA from the applicant relating to the timing of a proposed upgrade of the intersection of State Highway 6 and Hansen Rd and its effects on project delivery.

Overview of comments



- QLDC and ORC did not oppose project referral. QLDC noted the project is not unanticipated in this location and considered that issues which a panel will need to resolve include the proximity of the site to an Outstanding Natural Landscape (ONL) overlay and consistency with the National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land 2022 (NPS-HPL). ORC noted the project is located near the Frankton Bus Interchange.
- Waka Kotahi supported project referral and identified itself as a key stakeholder, given the potential for adverse effects on the highway network. Waka Kotahi requested if I decided to refer the project that I require HRL to consult with Waka Kotahi prior to lodgement of their application for resource consents. While I cannot require this of an applicant, I have included directions in the Amendment Order that the applicant provide with their consent applications a transport infrastructure assessment that includes

details of any discussions held, or agreements made, between the applicant and Waka Kotahi. I have also included a requirement that a panel invite comments on the consent applications from Waka Kotahi.

QAC opposed project referral because the project site is approximately 550 metres from the centreline of Queenstown Airport's main runway and is wholly within the Outer Control Boundary (OCB) for the airport in the Queenstown Lakes District Plan. QAC is therefore concerned this could result in significant reverse sensitivity effects on Queenstown Airport and its operations. While I consider a panel can consider any adverse effects arising from the project, including reverse sensitivity effect effects, in a merit-based assessment under the FTCA process, I have included in the Amendment Order a requirement that a panel invite comments on consent applications for the project from QAC.

Decision

- In making my decision, and in accordance with section 24 of the FTCA, I considered the application and further information received, all comments and requests for directions to the applicant and a panel provided in response to my invitation, and the eligibility criteria in section 18 of the FTCA. I also considered the report prepared under section 17 of the FTCA.
- I have decided to accept HRL's application for referral of the Worker Accommodation— Hansen Road project to a panel. I am satisfied the project meets the eligibility criteria in sections 18(2) and 18(3) of the FTCA. I consider it will help achieve the FTCA purpose as it has the potential to:
 - 32.1 generate employment by creating approximately 540 full-time equivalent jobs (including in the construction industry which was affected by COVID-19) over a 4-year design and construction period.
 - 32.2 increase housing supply by creating 400–600 residential units targeted to workers
 - 32.3 progress faster than would otherwise be the case under standard Resource Management Act 1991 process.
- To address matters raised by Waka Kotahi, I have decided to specify the applicant must provide a transport assessment as detailed in Appendix three with their resource consent applications to a panel.
- The FTCA requires a panel to invite comments from the relevant local authorities, relevant iwi authorities and Treaty settlement entities, specific Ministers, owners and occupiers of adjacent land, specific non-governmental organisations and other groups listed in the FTCA¹. In addition to these requirements and to address matters raised, I have decided to specify a panel must seek comment on HRL's resource consent applications from the four parties listed in Appendix three.
- I consider any actual and potential effects on the environment, together with any measures to mitigate, offset or compensate for adverse effects, can be appropriately tested by a panel against Part 2 of the RMA and the purpose of the FTCA.
- I consider there are no reasons to decide under section 24(2) of the FTCA to:

¹ Clause 17(6) of Schedule 6, FTCA.

- 36.1 limit the scope of the project by referring it only in part
- 36.2 refer the project in stages
- 36.3 place any restrictions on the project
- 36.4 impose specific timeframes for panel consideration.

Project for referral: Moy Estate

- 37 WGHL applied to use the fast-track consenting process for the Moy Estate project. The project is to subdivide a site covering approximately 4.6 hectares at 33 Main Highway, Ōtaki and construct approximately 143 stand-alone and semi-detached residential units and associated infrastructure.
- The project involves activities such as subdividing land, removing vegetation, earthworks, constructing residential units, discharging stormwater and contaminants, constructing or installing infrastructure, and landscaping and planting.
- The project requires subdivision and land use consents under the Kāpiti Coast District Plan, land use consent and discharge permits under the Greater Wellington Proposed Natural Resources Plan, and resource consent under the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health) Regulations 2011.
- I sought written comments on the referral application from the relevant local authorities – Kāpiti Coast District Council (KCDC) and Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC), from relevant Ministers as determined by section 21(6) of the FTCA and from Waka Kotahi.

Overview of comments

- 41 s 9(2)(f)(ii), s 9(2)(g)(i)
- 42 s 9(2)(f)(ii), s 9(2)(g)(i)
- 43 s 9(2)(f)(ii), s 9(2)(g)(i)
- 44 KCDC supported project referral provided that the rights and interests of neighbouring property owners were not compromised. In relation to this, I note that a panel must invite comments on any consent applications for the project from the adjacent landowners.

- KCDC noted concerns relating to the capacity of the existing wastewater and water networks, the lack of a stormwater network, and potential reverse sensitivity and development density effects. However, KCDC considered that these issues could be resolved and mitigated through project design, additional information, infrastructure modelling and upgrades where necessary as well as conditions of consent. A panel will need to consider these matters as part of its consideration of any resource consents for the project, and I note that KCDC will have the opportunity to provide comments on the consent applications to a panel.
- Waka Kotahi supported project referral provided there was appropriate acoustic attenuation for dwellings constructed near to the state highway. Waka Kotahi also advised that Main Highway is due to be revoked as a state highway and will be transferred to KCDC.

Decision

- In making my decision, and in accordance with section 24 of the FTCA, I considered the application and further information received, all comments and requests for directions to a panel provided in response to my invitation, and the eligibility criteria in section 18 of the FTCA. I also considered the report prepared under section 17 of the FTCA.
- I have decided to accept WGHL's application for referral of the Moy Estate project to a panel. I am satisfied the project meets the eligibility criteria in sections 18(2) and 18(3) of the FTCA. I consider it will help achieve the FTCA purpose as it has the potential to:
 - 48.1 generate employment by providing approximately 240 FTE jobs over a 7-year design and construction period
 - 48.2 increase housing supply by constructing approximately 143 residential units
 - 48.3 progress faster than would otherwise be the case under standard Resource Management Act 1991 process.
- The FTCA requires a panel to invite comments from the relevant local authorities, relevant iwi authorities and Treaty settlement entities, specific Ministers, owners and occupiers of adjacent land, specific non-governmental organisations and other groups listed in the FTCA². In addition to these requirements, I have decided to specify a panel must seek comment on WGHL's resource consent applications from Ngā Kaitiaki o Ngāti Kauwhata Incorporated, which is identified in the report prepared under section 17 of the FTCA as an additional party which may have an interest in the project area.
- I consider any actual and potential effects on the environment, together with any measures to mitigate, offset or compensate for adverse effects, can be appropriately tested by a panel against Part 2 of the RMA and the purpose of the FTCA.
- I consider there are no reasons to decide under section 24(2) of the FTCA to:
 - 51.1 limit the scope of the project by referring it only in part
 - 51.2 refer the project in stages

² Clause 17(6) of Schedule 6, FTCA.

- 51.3 place any restrictions on the project
- 51.4 impose specific timeframes for panel consideration.

Timing and 28-day rule

Cabinet has agreed to waive the 28-day rule for Orders in Council relating to projects to be referred to a panel³. Therefore, the Amendment Order will come into force on the date specified in the Amendment Order. HRL and WGHL may then lodge resource consent applications for their respective projects with the EPA, for consideration by a panel.

Compliance

- 53 The Amendment Order complies with:
 - 53.1 the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi
 - 53.2 the rights and freedoms contained in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 or the Human Rights Act 1993
 - 53.3 the principles and guidelines set out in the Privacy Act 2020
 - 53.4 relevant international standards and obligations
 - 53.5 the Legislation Guidelines (2018 edition), which are maintained by the Legislation Design and Advisory Committee.

Regulations Review Committee

I do not consider that there are grounds for the Regulations Review Committee to draw this Order in Council to the attention of the House of Representatives under Standing Order 319.

Certification by Parliamentary Counsel Office

55 The Amendment Order has been certified by the Parliamentary Counsel Office as being in order for submission.

Impact Analysis

Regulatory Impact Assessment

The Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) requirements for this proposal have been waived. Cabinet has agreed that a RIA is not required for Orders in Council relating to projects to be referred to a panel⁴.

³ ENV-20-MIN-0033 and CAB-20-MIN-0353 refer

⁴ ENV-20-MIN-0033 and CAB-20-MIN-0353 refer

Climate Implications of Policy Assessment

57 The Climate Implications of Policy Assessment (CIPA) team at the Ministry for the Environment has been consulted and confirms that the CIPA requirements⁵ do not apply to the projects.

Publicity

- The Amendment Order will be available on the New Zealand Legislation website following its notification in the New Zealand Gazette.
- To meet my obligations under section 25 of the FTCA, I have directed the Ministry for the Environment to make my decisions to refer the projects to a panel for consideration, the reasons for these decisions, and the reports obtained under section 17 of the FTCA available to the public on the Ministry for the Environment's website.

Proactive release

I intend to proactively release this paper on the Ministry for the Environment's website subject to redaction as appropriate under the Official Information Act 1982.

Consultation

The Ministry for the Environment sought comment on this paper from Te Tūāpapa Kura Kāinga Ministry of Housing and Urban Development, Ministry for Culture and Heritage, Te Puni Kōkiri, Ministry of Education, Department of Corrections, Land Information New Zealand, Treasury, Ministry of Transport, Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, Ministry for Primary Industries, Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Defence, Department of Internal Affairs, Department of Conservation and The Office for Māori Crown Relations – Te Arawhiti.

⁵ CO (20) 3 refers

Recommendations

I recommend that Cabinet:

- note that I have decided under section 24 of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020 to accept the applications for referral of the following projects to a panel:
 - 1.1 No. 1 Hansen Road Limited's Worker Accommodation—Hansen Road project
 - 1.2 Wakefield Group Holdings Limited's Moy Estate project
- note that the Worker Accommodation–Hansen Road project meets the eligibility criteria in section 18(3) of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020, and will help achieve the Act's purpose, as required by section 18(2) of the Act, as it has the potential to:
 - 2.1 generate employment by creating approximately 540 full-time equivalent jobs (including in the construction industry which was affected by COVID-19) over a 4-year design and construction period
 - 2.2 increase housing supply by creating 400 to 600 residential units targeted to workers
 - 2.3 progress faster than would otherwise be the case under standard Resource Management Act 1991 process
- note that the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Hansen Road and Moy Estate) Amendment Order 2023 requires No. 1 Hansen Road Limited to provide to an expert consenting panel a transport infrastructure assessment that includes details of any discussions held, and agreements made, between the applicant and Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency, which I specified under section 24(2) of the FTCA and outlined in my notice of decision on the referral application for the project, and as specified in Appendix three
- 4 note that the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Hansen Road and Moy Estate) Amendment Order 2023 requires an expert consenting panel appointed to consider No. 1 Hansen Road Limited's Worker Accommodation—Hansen Road project to seek comments from Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency, Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited, Te Ao Marama Incorporated and Aukaha (1997) Limited, as listed in Appendix three
- note that the Moy Estate project meets the eligibility criteria in section 18(3) of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020, and will help achieve the Act's purpose, as required by section 18(2) of the Act, as it has the potential to:
 - 5.1 generate employment by providing approximately 240 FTE jobs over a 7-year design and construction period
 - 5.2 increase housing supply by constructing approximately 143 residential units
 - 5.3 progress faster than would otherwise be the case under standard Resource Management Act 1991 process.
- 6 **note** that the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Hansen Road and Moy Estate) Amendment Order 2023 requires an expert consenting panel

- appointed to consider Wakefield Group Holdings Limited's Moy Estate project to seek comments from Ngā Kaitiaki o Ngāti Kauwhata Incorporated
- 7 **authorise** submission of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Hansen Road and Moy Estate) Amendment Order 2023 to the Executive Council
- 8 note that on 27 July 2020, Cabinet agreed to waive the standard 28-day rule relating to Orders in Council made under the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020 [CAB-20-MIN-0353 refers]. Consequently, the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Hansen Road and Moy Estate) Amendment Order 2023 will come into force on the date specified in the Amendment Order.

Authorised for lodgement

Hon David Parker

Minister for the Environment

Appendix one – Referred projects under the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020

Referred projects with Orders in Council gazetted		
Project - Location	Applicant	EPA Status
Clutha Upper Waitaki Lines Project - Works and Workers' Village - Clutha Upper Waitaki	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Not progressing through FTC
Northbrook Wanaka Retirement Village - Wanaka	Winton Property Limited	Consented by Panel (4 August 2021)
Kohimarama Comprehensive Care Retirement Village - Kohimarama, Auckland	Ryman Healthcare Limited	Consented by Panel (12 May 2021)
Molesworth Street Office Development - Thorndon, Wellington	Prime Property Group Limited	Consented by Panel (12 November 2021)
The Vines Affordable Subdivision - Richmond, Tasman	Jason and Angela Mudgway	Declined by Panel (17 September 2021)
Dominion Road Mixed-use Development - Mount Eden, Auckland	Pudong Housing Development Company Limited, Foodstuffs North Island Limited, and Silk Road Management Limited	Consented by Panel (29 September 2021)
Ohinewai Foam Factory - Ohinewai, Waikato	Ambury Properties Limited	Consented by Panel (24 August 2021)
Eastern Porirua Regeneration Project - Infrastructure Works - Porirua	Kāinga Ora-Homes and Communities' and Porirua City Council	Consented by Panel (9 September 2022)
Silverlight Studios - Wanaka	Silverlight Studios Limited	Consented by Panel (8 December 2021)
Brennan winery, restaurant, education, and event complex - Gibbston Valley, Otago	Otago Viticulture and Oenology Limited's (trading as Brennan Wines)	Not progressing through FTC
Nola Estate - Glen Eden, Auckland	CPM 2019 Limited	Consented by Panel (1 October 2021)
Wooing Tree Estate – Cromwell	Wooing Tree Property Development LP	Consented by Panel (29 September 2021)
Kapuni Green Hydrogen - Kapuni, Taranaki	Hiringa Energy Limited's and Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Consented by Panel (1 December 2021)
		Currently under appeal
New Dunedin Hospital – Whakatuputupu – Dunedin Package 1 – enabling works	The Minister of Health's and the Ministry of Health	Consented by Panel (23 December 2021)
New Dunedin Hospital – Whakatuputupu – Dunedin Package 2 - buildings	The Minister of Health's and the Ministry of Health	Consented by Panel (17 August 2022)

Faringdon South West and South	Hughes Developments Limited's	Consented by Panel (27	
East Development - Rolleston	Trughes Developments Limited s	August 2021)	
Summerset Retirement Village - Waikanae - Waikanae	Summerset Villages (Waikanae) Limited	Consented by Panel (23 September 2021)	
Beachlands Housing Development - Beachlands, Auckland	Neil Construction Limited and Fletcher Residential Limited	Consented by Panel (23 May 2022)	
Kōpū Marine Precinct - Kōpū, Thames	Thames-Coromandel District Council	Consented by Panel (9 March 2022)	
Whakatāne Commercial Boat Harbour project - Whakatāne	Whakatāne District Council, Te Rāhui Lands Trust, Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Awa, Ngāti Awa Group Holdings Limited, Te Rāhui Lands General Partner Limited, Te Rāhui Herenga Waka Whakatane Limited, and Provincial Growth Fund Limited	Consented by Panel (22 June 2022)	
Karaka North Village - Karaka, Auckland	Capella Group Limited and Karaka North Village Limited	Lodgement expected 2023	
Ōmāhu Residential Development - Remuera, Auckland	Urban Resort Limited and Icon Co Pty (NZ) Limited	Consented by Panel (14 February 2022)	
George St Mixed Use Development - Newmarket, Auckland	Newmarket Holdings Development Limited Partnership	Lodgement expected 2023	
Otawere Water Storage Reservoir - Northland	Te Tai Tokerau Water Trust	Consented by Panel (18 July 2022)	
Drury Central & Paerata Stations - Auckland	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Consented by Panel (3 February 2022)	
Rangitane Maritime Development - Kerikeri	Far North District Council & Far North Holdings Limited	9	
Brickfields, Scott Road Development - Hobsonville, Auckland	Aedifice Development Limited	Consented by Panel (24 March 2022)	
Melia Place - Whangaparāoa, Auckland	Melia Development Limited	Consented by Panel (14 June 2022)	
Oruku Landing - Whangārei, Northland	Northland Development Corporation Limited	Lodgement expected mid 2023	
Riverbend Residential Development - Napier	Tawanui Developments Limited, K3 Properties Limited & Mana Ahuriri Holdings Limited	Lodgement expected early 2023	
Silverlight Studios Accommodation - Wanaka, Otago	Silverlight Studios Limited	Consented by Panel (18 February 2022)	
Drury Centre Precinct - Auckland	Kiwi Property Holdings No 2	Under consideration by Panel	
Waihoehoe Precinct - Auckland	Oyster Capital Limited	Under consideration by Panel	
Drury East Stage 1 Precinct – Auckland	Fulton Hogan Land Development	Under consideration by Panel	

Flints Park, Ladies Mile – Te	Glenpanel Development Limited	Declined by Panel (30
Pūtahi, Queenstown		November)
		Currently under appeal
Federal Street Residences, Auckland	P0012 Auckland NZ Pty Limited	Consented by Panel (28 July 2022)
Bellgrove Development, Rangiora	Bellgrove Rangiora Limited	Consented by Panel (29 June 2022)
Lakeview-Taumata	QT Lakeview Developments Limited	Consented by Panel (16 December 22)
Summerset Retirement Village, Half Moon Bay, Auckland	Summerset Villages (Halfmoon Bay) Limited	Consented by Panel (11 April 2023)
Browns Bay Road Apartments, Auckland	Matvin Group Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Te Tauoma Stage 1B, St Johns, Auckland	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Consented by Panel (15 February 2023)
Kaiwharawhara Wellington Ferry Terminal Redevelopment	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Consented by Panel (25 January 2023)
The Rotokauri North project, Hamilton	Rotokauri North Holdings Limited	Consented by Panel (27 July 2022)
Tauhei Farm Solar Project, Te Aroha	Harmony Energy New Zealand Limited	Consented by Panel (20 September 2022)
The Hill, Ellerslie, Auckland	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated & Fletcher Residential Limited	Consented by Panel (17 April 2023)
Ariki Tahi Sugarloaf Wharf Upgrade, Waikato	Ariki Tahi Sugarloaf Wharf Limited	Consented by Panel (20 September) Currently under appeal
Hananui Aquaculture Project, Foveaux Straight	Ngāi Tahu Seafood Resources Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Flints Park West, Ladies Mile – Te Pūtahi, Queenstown	Glenpanel Development Limited	Lodgement expected late 2023
Waimarie Street, St Helliers, Auckland	Sanctum Projects Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Te Rere Hau Wind Farm Repowering, Manawatu	NZ Windfarms Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Bontanic Riverhead, Auckland	Matvin Group Limited	Consented by Panel (29 March 2023) Currently under appeal
Whenuapai Business Park, Auckland	Neil Construction Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Whenuapai Green, Auckland	Neil Construction Limited & Maraetai Land Development Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Faringdon Oval, Rolleston, Christchurch	Hughes Development Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Glenpanel Ladies Mile, Te Pūtahi	Maryhill Limited	Lodgement expected 2023

Kepa Road Apartments, Auckland	Sanctum Projects Ltd	Under consideration by Panel
Wellsford North, Auckland	Wellsford Welding Club Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Johnsonville Town Centre Redevelopment, Wellington	Stride Property Limited Lodgement expected 2023	
Waiterimu Solar Farm, Waikato	Waikato Solar Farms Limited/ Transpower Lodgement ex NZ Limited 2023	
Tauranga Innovative Courthouse, Bay of Plenty	Ministry of Justice	Consented by Panel (19 January 2023)
East Coast Heights, Silverdale	Build Rich Limited / Nation Shine Holdings Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
East Coast Heights, Stage 5 Silverdale	Build Rich Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Strathmill, Orewa	Shildon Ltd	Under consideration by Panel
Great South Homes Park, Auckland	Unispot Great South Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Waikanae North, Wellington	Bulletin Trust	Lodgement expected 2023
Rangiriri Solar Farm, Waikato	Joint Applicants: Rangiriri Solar Farm Limited and Transpower NZ Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Waerenga Solar Farm, Waikato	Joint Applicants: Waerenga Solar Farm Limited and Transpower NZ Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Wooing Tree Stage 4, Otago	Wooing Tree Property Development	Under consideration by Panel
Glen Innes to Tamaki shared path, Auckland	Auckland Transport	Under consideration by Panel
Wairatahi	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Lodgement expected 2023
Upland Road Retirement Village	HND Upland Limited and St Andrew's Village Trust Incorporated	Lodgement expected 2023
Metlifecare Retirement Village – Wellington	Metlifecare Retirement Villages Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Holly Lea Village Buildings D and E, Fendalton, Christchurch	Holly Lea Village Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Man Street Hotel, Queenstown	The Queenstown Hotel NZ Limited Partnership	Lodgement expected 2023
Project Quarterdeck, Auckland	Box Property Investments Ltd	Lodgement expected 2023
The North, Auckland	617 New North Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Auckland Surf Park	AW Holdings 2021 Ltd	Lodgement expected 2023

Appendix two – Status of projects listed in Schedule 2 of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020

Listed Project	Status
Matawii Water Storage Reservoir Kaikohe	Consents have been approved.
Papakāinga Development – Rāpaki, Christchurch	Consents have been approved.
Te Ara Tupua – Ngā Ūranga to Pito-one shared path	Consents have been approved.
Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment	Consents have been approved.
Queenstown Arterials Project	Consents have been approved.
Papakāinga Development - Kaitaia	Consents have been approved.
Papakura to Pukekōhe rail electrification	Package 1 - consents have been approved.
	Package 2 – minor consents - unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Papakura to Drury South State Highway 1	Package 1 - consents have been approved.
improvements	Package 2 – application lodged October in progress.
Unitec Residential Development	Application 1 - consents have been approved.
	Application 2 - consents have been approved.
	Application 3 – consents have been approved.
Papakāinga Development – Waitara, Taranaki	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Northern Pathway – Westhaven to Akoranga shared path	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Te Pā Tāhuna Residential Development	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Papakāinga Development - Point Chevalier, Auckland	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Papakāinga development – Whaingaroa, Raglan	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Papakāinga Development - Chatham Islands	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Wellington Metro Upgrade Programme	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Britomart Station eastern end upgrade	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.

Appendix three – Additional requirements specified by the Minister for the Environment under section 24(2) of the FTCA for No. 1 Hansen Road Limited's Worker Accommodation–Hansen Road project

No. 1 Hansen Road Limited is required to provide with their resource consent applications to an expert consenting panel:

 a transport infrastructure assessment that includes details of any discussions held, and agreements made, between the applicant and Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency.

An expert consenting panel appointed to consider No. 1 Hansen Road Limited's resource consent applications for the Worker Accommodation–Hansen Road project must seek comments from the following additional persons/organisations:

- 1. Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency
- 2. Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited
- 3. Te Ao Marama Incorporated
- 4. Aukaha (1997) Limited.