

From: § 9(2)(a)  
To: § 9(2)(a)  
Cc: § 9(2)(a)  
Subject: COMMERCIAL FVW: Rangitane Maritime RFI amendment  
Date: Monday, 14 June 2021 5:03:12 pm  
Attachments: image001.png

Hi Maggie

This came in on Friday from the applicants for Rangitane and should be included as part of/subsequent to their response to the RFI.

Manu – the clarification doesn't affect anything in the Stage 2 BN so this is just an FYI for your records.

Thanks

Jess Hollis – Contractor, Fast-track Consenting Team, Sustainable Land Use Delivery  
Ministry for the Environment – Manatū Mō Te Taiao  
Mobile: § 9(2)(a) | Email: § 9(2)(a) | Website: [www.mfe.govt.nz](http://www.mfe.govt.nz)

From: Aimee Page <§ 9(2)(a)>  
Sent: Friday, 11 June 2021 1:13 pm  
To: Jess Hollis <§ 9(2)(a)>  
Cc: Chris Galbraith <§ 9(2)(a)> > Deanne Rogers <§ 9(2)(a)>  
Subject: Rangitane Maritime RFI amendment

**MFE CYBER SECURITY WARNING**

This email originated from outside our organisation. Please take extra care when clicking on any links or opening any attachments.

Kia ora Jess

Following the lodgement of our RFI response, it has come to our attention that the reference to the site being within the 'Coastal Commercial Zone' is incorrect. This occurred due to confusion about the Northland Regional Plan's similar shades of blue used to depict the 'Coastal Commercial Zone' and the 'Coastal In-Water Cleaning Zone' (refer image below). As the Coastal Commercial zone does not apply to the site, we would respectfully ask that all references to it are ignored and we apologise for any inconvenience caused. The presence (or not) of the Coastal Commercial Zone at the site does not change the Discretionary Activity status of the reclamation or associated activities, which applies anywhere in the General Marine zone where a site is not within any identified regionally significant area.

Irrespective of the reference to this zoning, we maintain the view that this is a modified coastal environment where there is an existing boat ramp and recreation boat launching activities associated with the use of that ramp. For all the reasons stated in the RFI and the attached supporting information, the proposed site is considered to be an appropriate and best location for an upgraded facility.

Kind regards  
Aimee Page  
Far North Holdings Ltd

