## **Jacob Paget**

From: Meredith Lawry s 9(2)(a)

**Sent:** Friday, 21 June 2024 3:56 pm

**To:** Listed Projects

Cc: Neil Deans [EXTERNAL] (DOC)

**Subject:** FW: [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA#356 - Maitahi Village requested

Kia ora,

Please find below feedback on this one. We don't know of a reason why it would be ineligible.

Given the confidentiality requirements of this process, we have not been able to engage with our Treaty partners or address our obligations to give effect to Treaty principles. We recommend engagement with the Treaty partners to fully understand their perspectives.

Thanks, Meredith

From: Lionel Solly s 9(2)(a)

Sent: Friday, June 21, 2024 3:52 PM

To: Meredith Lawry s 9(2)(a)

Cc: Martin Rodd \$ 9(2)(a) Neil Deans \$ 9(2)(a) Jo Gould \$ 9(2)(a) ; Mark

Townsend s 9(2)(a)

Subject: RE: [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA#356 - Maitahi Village requested

Kia ora Meredith

I know a bit about this one already and info relevant to the questions is given below.

1. What classifications of PCL&W may be affected by this project, and is there any specific local context about this PCL or the project that the Advisory Group should know? If you are uncertain about whether something might be relevant, please get in touch.

The application site does not include or border any PCL&W administered by DOC. It is adjacent to the Dennes Hole Recreation Reserve, which is vested in the Nelson City Council; and the Council has other reserves or land managed as de-facto reserve within the wider area, but not within the land subject to the application.

The application site has been subject to a private plan change request (to enable this development). The private plan change was approved by Nelson City Council in 2022, but that decision was appealed. The application notes that the appeal was heard by the Environment Court in February 2024 and a decision is now awaited. DOC is not a party to the appeal process.

2. What conservation approvals may be required for this project?

There is a high likelihood that lizards are present within the application site, and Wildlife Act approvals are therefore likely to be required.

3. What Treaty settlements are relevant to this area, and are there any conservation-related obligations in these settlements specific to the site impacted by the applications or specific to the sorts of activity proposed? Again, is there any local context about iwi interest in these areas or this project that the Advisory Group should know?

The Maitai (Mahitahi) River and its tributaries are subject to statutory acknowledgements for Ngāti Kuia, Rangitāne o Wairau, Ngāti Koata, Ngāti Rārua, Ngāti Tama ki Te Tau Ihu, Te Ātiawa o Te Waka-a-Māui and Ngāti Toa Rangatira. The statutory acknowledgements do not apply to a part of the bed of the river or stream that is not owned by the Crown and do not therefore apply to watercourses within the application site itself (which is privately owned land); but do apply to the main stem of the Maitai (Mahitahi) River. There are no other Treaty Settlement obligations over the application land.

It should be noted that Koata Limited (the trading arm of the Ngāti Koata Trust) is one of the partners in CCKV Maitai Dev Co LP (the applicant), and the proposal includes development of housing and facilities for Ngāti Koata whānau, and the gifting of approx 114 ha of land to Ngāti Koata. However, the land and the proposed development are not part of the Treaty Settlement with Ngāti Kōata.

4. Do you know of any history of compliance issues with the applicant regarding conservation approvals?

N/A (the applicant has not had any previous conservation approvals).

Hope that's enough detail but let me know if you need any other info.

Cheers

## **Lionel Solly**

Senior Ranger, Community | *Kaitiaki Matua, Ao Hāpori* Department of Conservation | *Te Papa Atawhai* Mobile: \$ 9(2)(a)

## Whakatū/Nelson Office

Monro Building, 186 Bridge Street, Nelson | Private Bag 5, Nelson 7042

## Papatūānuku thrives

Toitu te marae a Tane-Mahuta, toitu te marae a Tangaroa, toitu te tangata If the land is well and the sea is well, the people will thrive



From: Listed Projects < <a href="mailto:ListedProjects@mfe.govt.nz">ListedProjects@mfe.govt.nz</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, June 21, 2024 2:13 PM

Subject: [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA#356 - Maitahi Village requested

Kia ora

This listed project application is for FTA#356 - Maitahi Village

The project has triggered your criteria for consultation.

Could you please provide comment on:

- whether the project requires any other related approvals from your agency
- if you are aware of anything that would render the project ineligible under clause 18 of the Bill
- if you are aware of any Treaty settlement matters which relate to the project site such as local protocols
- any other relevant matter?

If possible, could you please provide your feedback by COB 26 June 2024. We understand you have a large number of assessments to get through do keep in touch if you need extra time with any specific application.

Please let me know if there is anything I can do to assist.

Ngā mihi nui MfE Listed Projects team

Caution - This message and accompanying data may contain information that is confidential or subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message or data is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We apologise for the inconvenience. Thank you.