

Jacob Paget

From: Meredith Lawry s 9(2)(a)
Sent: Tuesday, 18 June 2024 9:07 am
To: Listed Projects
Cc: Neil Deans [EXTERNAL] (DOC)
Subject: FW: [IN-CONFIDENCE]FTA283 Listed projects application FTA283 – Airport to Botany Bus Rapid Transit Project

Mōrena Max,

Please find below feedback on this project. We don't know of a reason why this one would be ineligible.

Thanks,
Meredith

From: John Galilee s 9(2)(a)
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:43 PM
To: Meredith Lawry s 9(2)(a)
Cc: Neil Deans s 9(2)(a); Alex Rogers s 9(2)(a); Rebecca Rush s 9(2)(a)
Fiona McKenzie s 9(2)(a)
Subject: RE: [IN-CONFIDENCE]FTA283 Listed projects application FTA283 – Airport to Botany Bus Rapid Transit Project

Kia ora Meredith –

We have gathered together the information you were seeking as follows:

We need to know whether any PCL which is out of scope of Fast Track is in the project area, such as National Park, Wildlife or Forest Sanctuary, Nature or National Reserve, or Ramsar site.

There is no PCL within the project area.

At the westernmost end, the route either crosses or is adjacent parts of the Manukau Harbour, which is included within the West Coast North Island Marine Mammal Sanctuary Protected Area. This is primarily to protect Maui dolphin and it is unlikely they would be found in these upper reaches.

It is also helpful to know if there are other potential issues affecting wildlife for which approvals will be required under this application, although those may not be grounds for ineligibility ie. any DOC-related approvals that would be needed (they have already indicated the need for Wildlife Act and Conservation Act approvals). If there are any wildlife, freshwater fisheries or land access permissions for mining which may require DOC approvals.

We have not confirmed a need for any other permissions. However the route crosses a number of streams. As it essentially follows the alignment of existing roads it does mean that bridges and other structures may be widened, or built alongside existing roading infrastructure, causing further disturbance to freshwater streams. It is possible that native freshwater fish are impacted further.

Secondly, please advise if there are any Treaty settlements relevant to the project site. We don't need to contact the Treaty partner (due to confidentiality) but do need to advise our colleagues at MfE running the eligibility assessment if this is a potential risk for eligibility and upholding Treaty settlements.

There are no areas of PCL that have been returned to iwi as Treaty settlement redress within the project area.

As broader context the DOCGIS Treaty layer identifies the following Treaty settlement redress that includes the project area:

- Te Kawerau a Maki have Cultural Redress through their Area of Interest (Airport to Flat Bush)
- The Tāmaki Collective have a Right of First Refusal and a Conservation Protocol Area (Relationship Agreement)
- Ngāti Tamaoho have a Conservation Protocol Area (Relationship Agreement)
- Ngai Tai ki Tāmaki have a Conservation Protocol Area (Relationship Agreement)
- Waikato Raupatu have a Right of First Refusal (around the Airport/Puhinui area)

Other iwi with interests in this area include:

- Ngāti Maru
- Ngāti Paoa
- Ngāti Tamaterā
- Ngāti Te Ata
- Ngāti Whanaunga
- Te Ākitai Waiohū
- Te Patukirikiri

Please come back to me if you require anything further.

JG

John Galilee
Statutory Manager | Pou Ture Whenua
s 9(2)(a)

www.doc.govt.nz



From: Meredith Lawry s 9(2)(a)
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:46 AM
To: John Galilee s 9(2)(a)
Cc: Neil Deans s 9(2)(a) Alex Rogers s 9(2)(a)
Subject: FW: [IN-CONFIDENCE]FTA283 Listed projects application

Kia ora John,

Another application has come in for fast-track eligibility in Auckland – could your team help us by advising on any DOC-related approvals needed, any relevant Treaty settlement matters, and anything else that you think we should bring to MfE’s attention?

It would be fantastic if you could get a response back to us by end of day Wednesday.

Thank you!
Meredith

From: Listed Projects <ListedProjects@mfe.govt.nz>
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:46 AM
To: Neil Deans s 9(2)(a); Meredith Lawry s 9(2)(a)
Cc: Max Gander-Cooper s 9(2)(a)
Subject: [IN-CONFIDENCE]FTA283 Listed projects application

Kia ora Neil and Meredith

This listed project application is for FTA283 – Airport to Botany Bus Rapid Transit Project.

The application is for the regional consents and non-RMA approvals related to a bus link from the Airport to Botany in Auckland. The application refers to a Wildlife Act approval.

Could you please provide comment on:

- whether the project requires any other DOC-related approvals
- if you are aware of anything that would render the project ineligible under clause 18 of the Bill
- if you are aware of any Treaty settlement matters which relate to the project site – such as local protocols
- any other relevant matter?

If possible, could you please provide your feedback by COB **20 June 2024**.

Please let me know if there is anything I can do to assist.

Ngā mihi

Max Gander-Cooper (he/him)

Senior Policy Analyst | Kaitātari Kaupapa Here Matua

Ministry for the Environment | Manatū Mō Te Taiao

s 9(2)(a) | mfe.govt.nz

Caution - This message and accompanying data may contain information that is confidential or subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message or data is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We apologise for the inconvenience. Thank you.