

Jacob Paget

From: Meredith Lawry s 9(2)(a)
Sent: Wednesday, 26 June 2024 11:05 am
To: Listed Projects
Cc: Neil Deans [EXTERNAL] (DOC)
Subject: FW: [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA#327 - Integrated Development Plan for Te Arai South Precinct and Regional Park requested

Kia ora,

Please see below for DOC's notes on this one, which does not appear to be ineligible.

Thanks,
Meredith

From: John Galilee s 9(2)(a)
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2024 10:56 AM
To: Meredith Lawry s 9(2)(a)
Cc: Alex Rogers s 9(2)(a); Neil Deans s 9(2)(a); Rebecca Rush s 9(2)(a);
Fiona McKenzie s 9(2)(a)
Subject: RE: [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA#327 - Integrated Development Plan for Te Arai South Precinct and Regional Park requested

Kia ora Meredith -

Please find below responses to your questions as compiled by our District community ranger and reviewed by me.

1. There is no PC within the project area. However, this land block is adjacent to several pieces of PCL around its boundaries including Te Arai Point Conservation Area, Te Arai Coastline Marginal Strip, Poutawa Stream Marginal Strip, Tomarata Lake Marginal Strip and Slipper Lake Marginal Strip. None would make this application ineligible.
2. It is possible that a Wildlife Act authority may be required in respect of any lizards on the site, and potentially in relation to other wildlife. The most significant bird species of significance that uses habitat in the area is the critically endangered tara iti/fairy tern, and other coastal shorebirds would also be present. There is also a threatened plant recorded within the boundaries of this land and another (at least one) on the northern boundary – there are several more recorded in the immediate area.
3. From the DOCGIS Treaty Settlement Layer:
 - Te Kawerau a Maki have Cultural Redress through their Area of Interest. Statutory Acknowledgement of the Coastal area and a Conservation Protocol area.
 - Ngāti Manuhiri (applicant) have Statutory Acknowledgement of the adjoining coastal area, Statutory Acknowledgement of Poutawa Stream, Right of First Refusal and Conservation Protocol area.
 - Ngāi Tai ki Tamaki have Statutory Acknowledgement of the adjoining CMA and a Conservation Protocol Area (relationship agreement)
 - Other iwi entities that include this area in their rohe are:
 - Ngāti Paoa
 - Ngāti Te Ata
 - Ngāti Wai

- Ngāti Whanaunga

4. We are not aware of any compliance issues.

JG

John Galilee

Statutory Manager | Pou Ture Whenua

Auckland | Tāmaki Makaurau Office

Phone: S 9(2)(a)

www.doc.govt.nz



From: Meredith Lawry S 9(2)(a)
Sent: Friday, June 21, 2024 1:49 PM
To: John Galilee S 9(2)(a)
Cc: Alex Rogers S 9(2)(a); Neil Deans S 9(2)(a)
Subject: FW: [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA#327 - Integrated Development Plan for Te Arai South Precinct and Regional Park requested

Kia ora John,

A new batch of applications has been coming in today, so we may be sending a few more your way I'm afraid! We have been asked to provide more fulsome background in our covering emails, as below, but are essentially asking for the same information.

MFE has asked DOC to assist with ineligibility checks for projects being considered by the Advisory Group for potential listing in the Fast-Track Approvals Bill. At this stage, projects are just being tested against narrow "ineligibility" criteria. If projects are not ineligible and so are listed in the Bill, they will still be assessed on their merits at a later stage—then we can advise on any concerns we have with the project.

Information about the project is **attached**. This is only "preliminary" information provided by the applicant designed just to address the ineligibility test; the applicant will need to supply more details at a later stage in the process.

Please note that this information is **confidential** and must not be discussed outside of DOC. This means we cannot engage with our Treaty partners at this stage.

For now, we need advice from you on these three limited questions:

1. What classifications of PCL&W may be affected by this project, and is there any specific local context about this PCL or the project that the Advisory Group should know? If you are uncertain about whether something might be relevant, please get in touch.
2. What conservation approvals may be required for this project?
3. What Treaty settlements are relevant to this area, and are there any conservation-related obligations in these settlements specific to the site impacted by the applications or specific to the sorts of activity proposed? Again, is there any local context about iwi interest in these areas or this project that the Advisory Group should know?
4. Do you know of any history of compliance issues with the applicant regarding conservation approvals?

We will be passing this information to MFE for them to include in advice to the Advisory Group that is deciding whether these projects are listed. Any information you provide that isn't just answering the above questions goes beyond what MFE have asked for and will not be delivered to that Advisory Group. You'll get a chance to comment

on the merits of this and other fast-track projects when the time comes (though timeframes are expected to be tight). Any additional information you have will be useful for this later work, but please don't send it through just yet because we can't do anything useful with it at this stage.

We appreciate that some projects associated with this Bill are of concern to our Treaty partners. When communicating the answers to those questions above back to MFE, we will be clearly setting out that we are not able to speak for iwi, and that our inability to engage with them due to the confidentiality requirements of this process is a concern. Decision makers under the fast-track legislation are required to act consistently with Treaty settlement obligations. When we provide comments or otherwise advise decision-makers at later stages, we will still be using section 4 to guide our involvement and engagement as much as possible. If you would rather not be involved at all to avoid jeopardising your relationships with Treaty partners, we are happy to answer these questions to the best of our ability and then work with you later, at the "substantive application" stage.

Please provide this information by midday 26 June. We recognise these timeframes set by MFE are difficult, but there is little scope for extensions. If you have any questions or would like to discuss anything to do with this process, please feel free to contact me or you can talk to Malcolm from DOC's legal team at **s 9(2)(a)** or on **s 9(2)(a)**.

Thanks,
Meredith

From: Listed Projects <ListedProjects@mfe.govt.nz>

Sent: Friday, June 21, 2024 1:42 PM

Subject: [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA#327 - Integrated Development Plan for Te Arai South Precinct and Regional Park requested

Kia ora

This listed project application is for FTA#327 - Integrated Development Plan for Te Arai South Precinct and Regional Park

The project has triggered your criteria for consultation.

Could you please provide comment on:

- whether the project requires any other related approvals from your agency
- if you are aware of anything that would render the project ineligible under clause 18 of the Bill
- if you are aware of any Treaty settlement matters which relate to the project site – such as local protocols
- any other relevant matter?

If possible, could you please provide your feedback by COB 26 June 2024. We understand you have a large number of assessments to get through do keep in touch if you need extra time with any specific application.

Please let me know if there is anything I can do to assist.

Ngā mihi nui
MfE Listed Projects team

Caution - This message and accompanying data may contain information that is confidential or subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that any use, dissemination,

distribution or copying of this message or data is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We apologise for the inconvenience. Thank you.