Response ID ANON-URZ4-5FM7-M Submitted to Fast-track approval applications Submitted on 2024-04-29 22:59:16 Submitter details Is this application for section 2a or 2b? 2B 1 Submitter name Individual or organisation name: Rikirangi Gage 2 Contact person Contact person name: Rikirangi Gage 3 What is your job title Job title: CEO - Te Rununga o te Whanau 4 What is your contact email address? Email: s 9(2)(a) 5 What is your phone number? Phone number: s 9(2)(a) 6 What is your postal address? Postal address: Te Runanga O Te Whanau, State Highway 35, RD 3 Te Kaha 7 Is your address for service different from your postal address? No Organisation: Contact person: Phone number: Email address: Job title: Please enter your service address: Section 1: Project location Site address or location Add the address or describe the location: see map attached - Te Huata - SEAWATER Space Initiative - EEZ

Upload file here: No file uploaded

SEAWATER Space Initiative - EEZ Area Map.docx was uploaded

File upload:

| Who are the registered legal land owner(s)? |
|--|
| Please write your answer here: |
| Seawater space is not 'owned' by anyone. |
| EEZ [Exclusive Economic Zone] (from the 12 nautical mile limit to the 200 nautical mile limit) administered by The Crown (through the Environmental Protection Agency) |
| Territorial Waters (out to the 12 nautical mile limit) administered by the Bay of Plenty Regional Council. |
| Detail the nature of the applicant's legal interest (if any) in the land on which the project will occur |
| Please write your answer here: |
| lwi interest - historical and current Acknowledged owners (mana) of Whakaari (White Island) |
| Section 2: Project details |
| What is the project name? |
| Please write your answer here: Te Huata Sea Farms - Farm B |
| What is the project summary? |

The project involves the staged development of an offshore marine farm off the coast of Te Kaha in the Eastern Bay of Plenty. The project is a significant contributor towards achieving a Te Whanau a Apanui vision. One that ensures sustainable employment and economic independence for its people. And, is part of a wider package of interrelated projects designed to deliver transformational change to the people of Te Whanau a Apanui, Whakatohea and the wider eastern Bay of Plenty Iwi and the communities they are part of.

Construction of an open ocean sea farm will complement the current development proposals to extend aquaculture infrastructure initiatives currently underway, for example the Te Huata Mussel Spat Hatchery (Te Whanau-a-Apanui).

What are the project details?

Please write your answer here:

Do you have a current copy of the relevant Record(s) of Title?

No

upload file: No file uploaded

Please write your answer here:

The proposal is reflective of the kawa and tikanga of an lwi who have a deep connection and contract with Tangaroa. This coupled with new and progressive legislative circumstances surrounding an lwi settling with the Crown provides an opportunity to find a different and more collaborative way forward in an environment struggling to find the outcomes being sought by all parties. An opportunity to pursue a new approach is being offered here, in support and harmony with the intent of the 'Fast Track Approval Legislation'. This suggested approach is underpinned by three key considerations to the current circumstances which highlight the opportunities the Bill will provide.

1. The first is the need to find improved and innovative ways to support similarly innovative consenting practices in our aquaculture industry. Pushing the boundaries of current conventions where science, commerce, sustainable environmental practices, and regulation can sit comfortably at the same table is a must. We all already understand the nature of systems and ecosystems. We are keen to pursue an agile adaptive aquaculture management planning approach in this consent to achieve the outcomes we all seek. One that develops our aquaculture activities, with others, based on a developing knowledge economy we can all participate in. We see the Bill as an enable to be able to do that.

Part of the rationale is based on a wider imperative. Understanding the shifting global circumstances of global warming, seawater acidification and the consequences on our marine environment require a similar approach those who can influence the outcomes the proposed Bill will enable. Supporting this 'fast track' consent and associated, our endeavours, and a learning heuristic with others must be the way we approach all aquaculture opportunities of this nature in the future.

- 2. The second consideration is tightly bound to how little we know of the environment we seek a consent in in a scientific sense. Making long term 'static' decisions about the moana in circumstances like this is inadequate. The nature of the current Resource Management Act settings makes the development of aquaculture activities difficult there is simply never enough science to make a genuinely informed decision. This doesn't mean weshould shy away from decisions we require, like this consent. We just need to find a new approach where we can collectively build an aquaculture knowledge economy fit for a long-term sustainable aquaculture industry while simultaneously managing, in an informed way, the dynamic nature of the environment we seek to undertake activities in.
- 3. The third consideration is a recognition of the existing consented and operating marine farming activities within the area. These existing activities provide monitoring data that can be used to validate predicted environmental impacts from offshore marine farming in this area and resource consent conditions which can be applied to additional aquaculture areas to provide consistency with refinements where appropriate. In this case, the most

significant change proposed is the adoption of an agile adaptive aquaculture management planning approach developed for the purposes indicated above

In summary, the premise is that the resource consent application be granted in a timely manner with similar conditions to those applying to existing marine farms in the area with the addition of a new an agile adaptive aquaculture management planning approach to guide the detailed design, operation and monitoring of the marine farm.

Describe the staging of the project, including the nature and timing of the staging

Please write your answer here:

See programme plan - summarised.

Phase 1 Q4 2025 - 20% of the area

Phase 2 Q4 2026 - 40% of the area

Phase 3 Q4 2027 - 60% of the area

Phase 4 Q4 2028 - 80% of the area

Phase 5 Q4 2029 - 100% of the area

What are the details of the regime under which approval is being sought?

Please write your answer here:

Exclusive Economic Zone and Continental Shelf (Environmental Effects) Act 2012 marine consent.

Resource Management Act 1991 resource consent notice of requirement

certificate of compliance

coastal permit that authorises aquaculture activities to be undertaken in the coastal marine area and requires decisions under Part 9A of the Fisheries Act

If you seeking approval under the Resource Management Act, who are the relevant local authorities?

Please write your answer here:

Environmental Protection Agency Bay of Plenty Regional Council

What applications have you already made for approvals on the same or a similar project?

Please write your answer here:

Yes and No.

Yes - Resource consents for seawater space have been granted (Whakatohea Mussels) and Te Huata Sea Farms 1 A - these consents inside the territorial waters are materially the same.

No - The area outside the territorial waters is materially not the same. Specifically, this consent is also for aquaculture activities in the EEZ. This is materially different legislation etc. The consent seeks the equivalent of 'drag-along [RMA] rights' for the area sought in the EEZ under the RMA, but is outside its jurisdiction.

Is approval required for the project by someone other than the applicant?

No

Please explain your answer here:

The applicant is the lwi. The seawater is in the EEZ adjacent to their MACA claim area inside the territorial waters.

If the approval(s) are granted, when do you anticipate construction activities will begin, and be completed?

Please write your answer here:

Detailed design - Q3 / Q4 2024 Capital Raise - Q1 / Q2 2025 Procurement Q3 2025 Construction / Installation Q4 2025

Phased Completion Phase 1 Q4 2025

Phase 2 Q4 2026

Phase 3 Q4 2027 Phase 4 Q4 2028 Phase 5 Q4 2029

Section 3: Consultation

Who are the persons affected by the project?

Please write your answer here:

Te Whanau-a-Apanui lwi

Ngā hapū o Te Whanau-a-Apanui

This application is alongside recent applications with local authorities' (in other areas)

Relevant Iwi authorities are the applicants (Te Whanau-a-Apanui)

Relevant Treaty settlement entities are the applicants (Te Whanau-a-Apanui)

Relevant protected customary rights groups are the applicants (Te Whanau-a-Apanui)

Relevant customary marine title groups are the applicants (Te Whanau-a-Apanui)

Relevant applicant groups under the Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Act 2011 are the applicants (Te Whanau-a-Apanui)

This is not an application about land, therefore any person with a registered interest in land that may need to be acquired under the Public Works Act 1981 is 'irrelevant'.

Detail all consultation undertaken with the persons referred to above. Include a statement explaining how engagement has informed the project.

Please write your answer here:

The persons referred to above are the applicants... as noted above.

Upload file here:

No file uploaded

Describe any processes already undertaken under the Public Works Act 1981 in relation to the land or any part of the land on which the project will occur:

Please write your answer here:

n/a

Section 4: Iwi authorities and Treaty settlements

What treaty settlements apply to the geographical location of the project?

Please write your answer here:

Te Rūnanga o Te Whānau Last updated: 23/01/2024

Recognised iwi organisation in the Māori Fisheries Act 2004. Recognised iwi organisation in the Māori Fisheries Act 2004.

Resource Management Act consultation. Represents Te Whānau a Apanui as an "iwi authority" for the purposes of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Te Rūnanga directs resource issue enquiries to be dealt with by the appropriate affected hapū.

Tūhono organisation. Tūhono organisation.

Legal entity: Te Huata Charitable Trust

Governance structure: Ten of 13 hapū (excluding Te Whānau a Te Ēhutu and Te Whānau a Maruhaeremuri) Te Whānau a Toihau/Hinetekahu is rejoining.

Chair: Matetu Herewini CEO: Rikirangi Gage

RMA Contact: Rikirangi Gage Email: riki.gage@apanui.co.nz

Postal Address:

RD3,

Ōpōtiki 3199.

Physical Address:6773 SH 35,

Te Kaha.

Phone: 07 325 2726 Mobile: 021 672 072

Email: runanga@apanui.co.nz Website: https://www.apanui.co.nz/ Te Whānau a Apanui Negotiations Team

Last updated: 15/06/2023

Mandate recognised by the Crown for Treaty of Waitangi settlement negotiations. Mandate recognised by the Crown for Treaty of Waitangi settlement negotiations [Agreement in Principle signed with the Crown on 28 June 2019].

Legal entity: Not Applicable

| Negotiator: Rikirangi Gage Postal Address: RD3, Õpōtiki 3199. Physical Address: 6773 SH 35, Te Kaha. Phone: 07 325 2726 Email: runanga@apanui.co.nz |
|--|
| Are there any Ngā Rohe Moana o Ngā Hapū o Ngāti Porou Act 2019 principles or provisions that are relevant to the project? |
| No |
| If yes, what are they?: |
| Are there any identified parcels of Māori land within the project area, marae, and identified wāhi tapu? |
| No |
| If yes, what are they?: |
| Is the project proposed on any land returned under a Treaty settlement or any identified Māori land described in the ineligibility criteria? |
| |
| No |
| Has the applicant has secured the relevant landowners' consent? |
| No . |
| ls the project proposed in any customary marine title area, protected customary rights area, or aquaculture settlement area declared under s 12 of the Māori Commercial Aquaculture Claims Settlement Act 2004 or identified within an individual iwi settlement? |
| Yes |
| f yes, what are they?: |
| The proposed project is in the EEZ and the MACA Claim area of Te Whanau-a-Apanui. |
| Te Whanau-a-Apanui, is the applicate for the fast-track consent. |
| Has there been an assessment of any effects of the activity on the exercise of a protected customary right? |
| Yes |
| f yes, please explain: |
| A number of seawater areas in the Moana a Toi Rawhiti have had detailed assessment of any effects of the activity on the exercise of a protected customary right. Applications under the RMA (inside the Coastal Marine Area), Gazetted Aquaculture Settlement Seawater Space Areas (Moana a Toi) and Gazetted Treaty Settlement Seawater Space Areas (Whakatohea and Te Whanau-a-Apanui) have required assessments as part of the allocations of those seawater spaces. |
| Upload your assessment if necessary: No file uploaded |
| Section 5: Adverse effects |
| What are the anticipated and known adverse effects of the project on the environment? |
| Please describe: |
| A DRAFT consent document for "FAST TRACK' Resource Consent has been prepared for this seawater space called - Te Huata Sea Farm 1 B - EEZ |
| included within this DRAFT consent document there is a section that deals with an Assessment of Environmental Effects. |
| The question noted here is dealt with in detail in this document. It can and will be provided at the appropriate time. |
| Upload file: No file uploaded |
| |

Section 6: National policy statements and national environmental standards

What is the general assessment of the project in relation to any relevant national policy statement (including the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement) and national environmental standard?

Please write your answer here:

A DRAFT consent document for "FAST TRACK' Resource Consent has been prepared for this seawater space called - Te Huata Sea Farm 1 B - EEZ

Included within this DRAFT consent document there is a section that deals with an Assessment of National Policy Statements and National Environmental Standards.

The question noted here is dealt with in detail in this document. It can and will be provided at the appropriate time.

File upload:

No file uploaded

Section 7: Eligibility

Will access to the fast-track process enable the project to be processed in a more timely and cost-efficient way than under normal processes?

Yes

Please explain your answer here:

There has never been a request for a consent for aquacultural activities (for example, marine farming) outside the Coastal Marine Area, in the EEZ. This process will cut through the various layers of government that will be required to exercise the access to this seawater space.

What is the impact referring this project will have on the efficient operation of the fast-track process?

Please write your answer here:

The wording of this question is vague. For clarity we offer this.

If the question is meant to ask... "What will the impact of this project have on the efficient operation of the fast-track process", it is hard for those outside the process to know what the influence the project will have on the institutional arrangements of the process proposed - it is yet to be tested.

If it is meant to ask... "What will the impact of the fast-track consent process have on the efficient operation of the project", then the impact will do a number of things. For example, it will but not limited to, offer an opportunity for the government and applicant to achieve the following...

- 1. Exercise the government into considering how to manage aquaculture applications for consent in the EEZ something that will be required in Aotearoa New Zealand's future
- 2. Significantly reduce the time it will take to secure a consent outside the Marine Coastal Area, in the EEZ.
- 3. It will speed up our understanding of the environmental and ecological circumstances of the EEZ, riding on the back of the monitoring and management of seawater space outside the Marine Coastal Area, in the EEZ.

Has the project been identified as a priority project in a:

Other

Please explain your answer here:

Central government plan or strategy - Yes, National Aquaculture Strategy - \$3b GDP by 2030 Local government plan or strategy - Yes, Regional Aquaculture Strategy 2023 RAG Sector plan or strategy - Yes, National Aquaculture Strategy (Aquaculture NZ) Central government infrastructure priority list - Yes, Aquaculture

Will the project deliver regionally or nationally significant infrastructure?

National significant infrastructure

Please explain your answer here:

Regionally and Nationally.

This project, alongside a number of related initiatives, form the basis of a new 'Primary Sector in the Bay of Plenty. And, when collectively considered, will generate \$2 - \$3b revenue [PwC Report - Economic Potential - Published in Jul-23]

Will the project:

contribute to a well-functioning urban environment

Please explain your answer here:

The project, alongside other initiatives in aquaculture is expected to generate 2,000 - 3,000 employment opportunities [PwC Report - Economic Potential -Published in Jul-23]. This will provide the economic potential for employees (whanau and hapu) to afford housing. Will the project deliver significant economic benefits? Please explain your answer here: Per above Will the project support primary industries, including aquaculture? Please explain your answer here: Yes, per above, but not in a settlement area declared under section 12 of the Māori Commercial Aquaculture Claims Settlement Act 2004. Will the project support development of natural resources, including minerals and petroleum? No Please explain your answer here: Will the project support climate change mitigation, including the reduction or removal of greenhouse gas emissions? Yes Please explain your answer here: One of the species the seawater space is being used for is seaweed. The lwi have the science and a research programme developed do two things. 1. Seaweed to capture carbon in seaweed through a process, then sequester the carbon in concrete for that purpose. The lwi will be able to share the science underpinning this project - and the local and international partners and experts who have the expertise to utilise this seawater space for this purpose. 2. Seaweed to reduce methane in livestock through a process, using processed feed additives from seaweed extracts. The lwi will also be able to share the science underpinning this project - and the local and international partners and experts who have the expertise to utilise this seawater space for this purpose. Will the project support adaptation, resilience, and recovery from natural hazards? Yes Please explain your answer here: The science supporting these seawater spaces includes species being selectively bred for seawater acidification and warmer seawater temperatures. This will create resilience for our native species in the seawater space being sought for aquaculture activities. Will the project address significant environmental issues? Yes Please explain your answer here: Sea farming significantly increases the ecological and environmental biodiversity - this is well established in the literature. And, evidenced by open ocean aquaculture already established in the Easten Bay of Plenty (for example, Whakatohea Mussel Farms). Is the project consistent with local or regional planning documents, including spatial strategies? Yes Please explain your answer here:

And, we are actively participating in a Regional Spatial Plan Review with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council, Whakatane District Council and Opotiki District

Council.

| Anything else? |
|---|
| Please write your answer here: |
| Does the project includes an activity which would make it ineligible? |
| No |
| If yes, please explain: |
| Section 8: Climate change and natural hazards |
| Will the project be affected by climate change and natural hazards? |
| Yes |
| If yes, please explain: |
| Yes, but unclear as to how at this stage. |
| Part of the benefit of sea farming in the open ocean is developing a knowledge economy that will put NZ Inc. in a far better position to be able to answer this question. |
| Section 9: Track record |
| Please add a summary of all compliance and/or enforcement actions taken against the applicant by any entity with enforcement powers under the Acts referred to in the Bill, and the outcome of those actions. |
| Please write your answer here: |
| n/a |
| Load your file here: No file uploaded |
| Declaration |
| Do you acknowledge your submission will be published on environment.govt.nz if required |
| Yes |
| By typing your name in the field below you are electronically signing this application form and certifying the information given in this application is true and correct. |
| Please write your name here: Jack Parata |
| Important notes |
| |
| |
| |
| |