

CONSULTATION RECORD

Consultation to date has spanned:

- (a) The design process for the project itself; and*
- (b) The preparation of the Cultural Assessment and Aspirations Report (“CAAR”); and*
- (c) Wider engagement with mana whenua and in particular those hapus identified in the application for Referral and the subsequent Schedule 31 requirements.*

The CAAR has been undertaken.

The Cultural Design Process

Mana Whenua engagement was led by K3 Development and resulted in the establishment of a Tangata whenua group; Te Kawa Matapōpore (Mana Whenua) which consisted of representatives from:

- a. Ngāti Kahungunu Iwi*
- b. Mana Ahuriri*
- c. Te Taiwhenua o Whanganui ā Ōrotu*
- d. Ngāti Pārau hapū*
- e. Rōpū ā Iwi trust*
- f. Pukemokimoki Marae*

The cultural design team provided support to the design team in weaving the cultural narrative into buildings and spaces and how they will be interpreted and presented in design considerations. Dialogue involved also considering matters pertaining to the areas beyond the site itself including the opportunities for Maraenui Park.

This process allowed for an understanding and the integration of local history, the whenua the development sits upon, and the vision and values of Mana Whenua. Much of this work will be embedded into conditions of consent governing ongoing design guidelines, and the detailed design and implementation of architecture and hard and soft landscape elements. The approach to this working group was underpinned by the K3 Kaupapa.

It is noted that many of the cultural design principles identified as being appropriate by the cultural design team are also applied to varying degrees across several public housing providers including KOHC and NZHF.

Several documents and correspondence in relation to this cultural design process are attached in *Appendix 19* and which demonstrate that in this respect, there has been a concerted effort made to capture and integrate cultural values within the design as far as is reasonably practicable. Key meetings are set out in *Table 19.2.1* below.

Mana Whenua Stakeholder Engagement

Outside the cultural design process, a parallel series of hui and presentations was undertaken, again facilitated largely by K3. These were undertaken through the various iterations of the master plan, as set out in *Part *** of this document.

Table 19.2.1 Summary of Mana Whenua Engagement Schedule

Engagement	Date	Purpose
Hui with Tiwana Aranui	9/03/21	Initiate Kawa Matapopore advisory group discussion. Discuss roopu structure and members to reflect cross section of representation.
Hui with Chad Tareha	10/05/21	Initiate Kawa Matapopore advisory group discussion. Discuss roopu structure and members to reflect cross section of representation.
Hui with Chad Tareha	15/11/21	Discussion regarding Ngāti Paarau aspirations in the housing development.
K3 Virtual Envisioning Workshop - Riverbend Road	4/02/22	Community engagement forum for collaborative design input.
Piri Prentice and Tiwana Aranui hui	8/02/22	Discuss overall Riverbend Road housing development, aspirations of Mana Whenua in the project and over housing affordability for whanau.
NCC Councillor Workshop	18/03/22	NCC engagement forum for collaborative design input.
Kawa Matapopore - Bi-Monthly Meeting	23/03/22	Engagement with key representatives of Tangata whenua and Mana whenua to provide guidance and feedback at key times of the project.
Te Kaha Hawaikirangai and Chad Tareha hui	29/03/22	Initial discussion on the Te Orokohanga Hou native planting initiative opportunity with Ngāti Paarau and Te Wai Mauri
Councillor Workshop (Riverbend Road Development)	31/03/22	NCC engagement forum for collaborative design input.
Chad Tareha, Tiwana Aranui and Ngāhiwi Tomoana	12/04/22	Te Orokohanga Hou Street naming hui to start community engagement and development of the approach to street and park naming for the development.
Napier City Council hui	29/04/22	NCC hui to discuss Maraenui Community Centre vision - Toitū / Riverbend Rd Dev / Te Pihinga
Te Kaha Hawaikirangai Te Orokohanga Hou - Native planting initiative	16/05/22	Discuss further the Te Orokohanga Hou native planting initiative with Ngāti Paarau
Kawa Matapopore - Bi-Monthly Meeting	14/06/22	Engagement with key representatives of Tangata whenua and Mana whenua to provide guidance and feedback at key times of the project.

Maraenui Rugby Club hui	20/09/22	Discuss Te Orokohanga Hou update with Maraenui Rugby Club and also opportunities and aspirations for the Maraenui Park area.
Kawa Matapopore - Bi-Monthly Meeting	28/09/22	Engagement with key representatives of Tangata whenua and Mana whenua to provide guidance and feedback at key times of the project.
Tiwana Aranui hui	26/10/22	General project update and kaupapa check in to discuss progress.

Falling outside of the engagement and dialogue above, the following formal responses were received; which signal support and approval for the development and the master plans:

- (a) A formal letter of support from the adjoining Maraenui Rugby and Sports Association Inc dated 10/11/2022. This letter confirms the role of K3 in facilitating dialogue with the club and the interface with the rugby grounds whilst opening potential new opportunities for this community facility. This has included the generation of concept plans for further consideration by stakeholders for the enhancement to the existing park (plans are included in *Appendix 19*).
- (b) A formal letter of support from the Board of Trustees of the Pukemokimoki Marae (signed by Tiwana Aranui – Chairperson), indicating that their views are that the proposal has the potential to generate wider community benefits over and above the provision of housing.
- (c) A set of street naming proposals which have been endorsed by mana whenua and which are being put forward for approval with the application (*Appendix 11*).

It is further noted that several of the offered draft consent conditions require ongoing oversight and guidance from representatives of mana whenua in respect of design and implementation of the project.

OTHER INDIVIDUALS AND PARTIES – ENGAGEMENT AND FEEDBACK

NCC - Engagement and Information Collaboration

The starting point for the engagement process was the feedback received from NCC as the consideration of the application for a referral under The Act advanced. As required by the provisions of *Schedule 31*, there has been an extensive engagement process via the Council's Key Account manager program. This has involved NCC input from all key technical disciplines spanning urban design and landscape, planning, community development/parks and reserves, engineering for 3 Waters and transportation. The engagement process spanned a period of some 18 months (about 1 and a half years) and has entailed a workshop session for stakeholders as well as 2 formal presentations to NCC political representatives. Documentation and correspondence over this period are significant and for the benefit of The Panel, what is the key documentation between the Applicant and NCC is attached (noting that the feedback from NCC dated 14 September was provided prior to the constructed wetland/SMA being included as a key infrastructural element of the design). Several key topic areas were highlighted as the design process advanced; namely:

- a) *Natural hazards management (stormwater design);*
- b) *Provision of potable water and wastewater;*

- c) Roading and Transportation network upgrades;*
- d) Extensions to Maraenui Park and impacts on the functionality of the park;*
- e) Development density, connectivity and structure;*
- f) Feedback on draft conditions of consent;*
- g) The levying of financial contributions based on the infrastructure upgrades confirmed/identified as being required.*

Having worked through these progressively with NCC, it is considered that the vast majority of the design elements have been resolved, noting that the draft conditions of consent will be the primary means by which these matters will be managed. Evidence of the substantial engagement process is evident in the marked departure from the scheme which was the subject of the referral order to the current masterplan underpinning the consents sought.

The AEE section of this report addresses a number of the design aspects identified by NCC and the conclusions are that the effects arising from these design elements can be avoided, remedied or mitigated. While some concerns have been expressed by NCC from an urban design perspective (namely typology mix, accessibility and positioning of the 3-storey walkups), it is considered that the scale of the development will provide a sufficient mix and design of typologies and also will provide for those who have mobility issues, noting that ability of each ground floor unit to provide accessible access on at least 1 frontage (JOAL or public street).

Of note are the discussions on the potential for the extension to Maraenui park. This was raised on several occasions and Clause 3(2)(b) of Schedule 31 also makes provision for the potential expansion of the park. As the design process was advanced, it is noted that NCC is no longer seeking an extension of the park, but that there will be a process of reconfiguring that existing space to better serve the recreational need of its users and particularly, the Maraenui Rugby Club. Several engagement workshops and meetings with the club have led to 2 preliminary options for the potential upgrading of the existing facilities, noting that the Developer Agreement to be finalized between NCC and The Applicants potentially makes funds available to contribute to upgrades once confirmed.

A key aspect of the engagement process was also the provision of 3-Waters infrastructure and the management of the current inundation hazards identified for the site. This has in turn resulted in an extensive joint program of stormwater modelling utilizing NCC Consultants as well as a review of the wastewater and potable water supply options, including reviews of those findings, prepared by Council appointed consultants. Those reports are included in the ESR. The conclusion in respect of all of these matters is that the proposal as it stands can incorporate an appropriate level of 3 Waters infrastructure as well as mitigate against the longer-term climate change effects which are principally the stormwater attenuation infrastructure now proposed on the Southern Site.

Feedback on draft versions of the conditions of consent was also received from NCC. Where considered practicable and feasible, changes have been made to the drafted previously circulated, appreciating that NCC will be the primary administrator of the consents sought. While some concerns have been expressed regarding the extensive use of Management Plans in the conditions of consent, it is considered that these represent an appropriate tool to manage those effects which can arise as “nuisance” effects during construction and operation. It is considered that the substantive effects have been identified and addressed sufficiently through the AEE and the draft conditions of consent.

When considering the nature of the feedback received at the referral stage, vs the feedback progressively received during the design and engagement process, that substantive matters have been addressed and that there is generally a level of agreement between NCC and The Applicant as to the elements of the proposal, the benefits it will generate for the area and the manner in which it will be developed

HBRC

The original feedback from HBRC captured a relatively narrow range of issues pertaining primarily to their role in the management of natural hazards and a consideration of the matters that would need to be addressed in any application, including (but not limited to) inundation mitigation, lateral spread, tsunami risk management and potential impacts upon the SPZ identified on regional planning maps. Progressive feedback and engagement have taken place during the period of redesign, including a meeting with the HBRC transportation team and measures to integrate with future public transport infrastructure, several meetings with HBRC planning and engineering team members in respect of the stormwater management regime proposed, the progression of the design and the approach to managing water quality.

Based on the information provided, it is considered that the matters raised by HBRC at the time of the referral have been largely addressed, and particularly in respect of the stormwater management approach.

Pukemokimoki Marae

Pukemokimoki Marae is recognized as an important cultural “anchor” in the community and progressive engagement with the Marae committee and representatives has taken place during the evolution of the current design via the Kawa Matapopore (Advisory Group). The marae is an urban marae and so serves a wide community function. The design concepts and spatial analysis underpinning the design make specific reference to the importance of the marae (refer Drawing Ref *L500 Rev.A 16/12/2022 in Plan Set B*) and the marae is identified as being of significance in the CAAR (*Appendix 10*). As a result of the engagement process, the Applicant has received a formal letter of support from the Board of trustees for the Marae.