

13 August 2020

Western Bay of Plenty District Council, Private Bag 12803, Tauranga Mail Centre 3143

For the attention of:- Mayor Garry Webber & CEO, Miriam Taris,

Dear Garry & Miriam,

# **Development in the East**

### Background.

The Urban Form and Transport Investment work (UFTI) has been completed and adopted by the SmartGrowth partnership.

The adopted strategy is an NZTA business case approach for "Connected Villages" supported by the requisite transport investment.

UFTI has a strong emphasis on development in the east through the Eastern Corridor. The key moves are set out in **Appendix 1**.

Many of these outcomes will be carried through into the proposed SmartGrowth Spatial Plan.

Government has maintained it's focus on the east post the TEL investment through the recently announced \$18 million Rangiuru Business Park interchange funding.

## **Looking forward**

A major UFTI move is the eastern settlement pattern concept plan that defines the location of a new settlement in the east. This is a key move in the jigsaw going forward. While it is scheduled to be completed over a number of years there are assumptions that may alter the timing if they do not play out as anticipated.

The key here is the completion of the proposed development at Te Tumu. Currently the development is stalled by decisions of the Maori Land and Appellate Courts. They require the several thousand beneficiaries reach a more conciliatory position if the development is to proceed on the basis that the Trustees and beneficiaries can agree on the land portioning process as well as the distribution of proceeds from the freeholding of some land. The processes are complex and have been set back by the approach the Te Tumu Trust has taken to date. It may be that differing intergeneration expectations may result in a situation like Ihumatao on the Manukau Harbour. If this is the case another site will need to be found for the several thousand houses and people that would have been accommodated in Te Tumu.

Alternatives yet to be investigated in Te Tumu relate to alternative access via the Kaituna link as well as the economics of development starting in the east on the Ford land and eventually moving west onto the Te Tumu 14 Trust lands.

There is also a challenge to new development areas in the east from potential options that the Tauranga Northern Link will present at Te Puna via the on and off ramps to the new highway. A similar situation existed at Te Kauwhata in the northern Waikato where Winton Partners is well through completing the 1400 lot Lakeside development inspired by good motorway access.

#### Short term opportunities

Many of the more significant opportunities will take time to anchor given the complexities of lining up long term land use, infrastructure and funding. In the meantime there are two interesting short-term opportunities that could become **pilots** for other long-term initiatives.

The first relates to an expansion of the existing Pongakawa Village, and the second bringing ahead a shovel-ready industrial development on the edge of Te Puke.

- 1) A potential Pongakawa Village expansion opportunity. Ongoing investment in the Kiwifruit industry continues apace with needs for locally based residential development to support the industry. One opportunity is a possible westerly expansion of the existing Pongakawa Village for up to say 100 houses developed in stages to support the existing school and village facilities. The general area is illustrated in Appendix 2. This approach fits the principle of expanding and existing settlement rather than starting over elsewhere.
- 2} Advancing the development opportunities on the Marshall land Te Puke northern side. A concept plan of this development is attached as Appendix 3. While it requires the Council to bring forward some trunk services, the attached Plan shows that there is a development of some scale that is ready to go if this could be done. A high profile, international tractor and machinery distributor is keen to establish a presence on this site.

## **Next steps**

We are keen to meet with you to discuss:

- · The strategic direction set out above
- · The specific development opportunities

We think it would be useful to also have Bill Wasley the SmartGrowth Independent Chair at the meeting and Ken Tremaine who is assisting SmartGrowth with preparing the Spatial Plan.

We would be grateful if we could schedule this meeting in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mark Boyle

cc. Paul Hickson, Bill Wasley, Ken Tremaine

# Appendix 1: UFTI Eastern Corridor Package

# Eastern Corridor package

| environment, region, suppo  | orting growth                  | emaking/amenities, attractive and thriving sub-<br>, increased safety (transport and personal), betto<br>nodal shift, improved housing affordability | er                                |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Key moves   | Exp<br>ect<br>ed<br>timi<br>ng | Involved agencies (indicative PASCI)   | Commi<br>tted<br>(Y/N/<br>Partial |
| Resolve access to Te Tumu   | 1–3<br>years                   | TCC (A), tangata whenua (A), Waka Kotahi (S), MHUD (S)   | Yes                               |
| Te Tumu Structure Plan and rezoning   | 1-3<br>years                   | TCC (A), all other partners (S)  | Yes                               |
| Te Tumu transport multimodal network design and delivery  | 4–10<br>years                  | TCC (A), BOPRC and Waka Kotahi (S) (C), all other partners (S)   | Yes                               |
| Te Tumu and Wairakei community<br>facilities to support town centre and<br>amenity  | 4–10<br>years                  | Ministry of Education (A), TCC (A), all other partners (I)   | Partial                           |
| Complete Rangiuru Business Park including the interchange to support road/rail integration, and freight movements to the Port   | 1–3<br>years                   | Waka Kotahi (S) (C), WBoPDC (A), TCC (I), tangata whenua (S), all other parties (I)  | No                                |
| Complete Pāpāmoa East Interchange   | 1–10<br>years                  | Waka Kotahi (S) (C), TCC (A), tangata whenua (S), all other parties (I)  | Yes                               |
| Prepare new eastern settlement concept plan that defines location of new settlement, and associated District Plan change to protect the area from inappropriate subdivision and development | 4–10<br>years                  | WBoPDC (A), all other partners (S)   | No                                |
| Complete New Eastern Settlement<br>Masterplan including decisions on<br>multimodal connectivity between new<br>settlement, Wairakei, Rangiuru and Te<br>Puke                                | 10-20<br>years                 | WBoPDC (A), all other partners (S)   | No                                |
| Construct infrastructure to support new eastern settlement  | 20<br>year<br>s<br>onw<br>ards | To be determined   | No                                |

Appendix 2: Potential land for development adjacent to the existing Pongakawa Village

