Jacob Paget

From: Meredith Lawry S 9(2)(a)
Sent: Wednesday, 5 June 2024 4:36 pm

To: Listed Projects

Cc: Neil Deans [EXTERNAL] (DOC)

Subject: FW: Response [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA267 - Mananui Mineral Sands Project

Kia ora Steph,

Analysis on the Mananui Mineral Sands project is below. We don't know of any reasons why this project would be ineligible.

Thanks, Meredith

From: Joy Comrie S 9(2)(a)

Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2024 4:27 PM

To: Meredith Lawry $\mathbf{S} \ 9(2)(a)$; Neil Deans $\mathbf{S} \ 9(2)(a)$

Cc: Tim Shaw S 9(2)(a)

Subject: Response [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA267 - Mananui Mineral Sands Project

Hi Meredith/Neil

Below is a summarised response from the Hokitika District. Any further information is best obtained from Tim Shaw, acting Operations Manager.

Question	Response
Is any PCL affected? In particular, is the project likely to affect a	No PCL land required for access or operation.
National Park, Wildlife or Forest Sanctuary, Nature or National	
Reserve, or Ramsar sites?	
Would the site likely require any DOC approvals (eg Wildlife Act,	No mining or concession authorities required.
Conservation Act, freshwater fisheries)	No previous need for Wildlife Act approvals
	identified.
Do we know of any Treaty implications that MfE should be made	Immediately south, but not within the
aware of (eg, whether the site is relevant to a Terms of	application area, is Lake Mahinapua, a
Settlement)?	statutory recognition area under the Ngai
	Tahu Settlement Act. It is culturally significant
	and considered tapu. The application,
	however, includes a letter of support from
	both WSI Runanga.

Cheers

Joy

From: Listed Projects < <u>ListedProjects@mfe.govt.nz</u>>

Sent: Friday, May 31, 2024 5:47 PM

To: Neil Deans S 9(2)(a) Meredith Lawry S 9(2)(a)

Cc: Stephanie McNicholl > 9(2)(a); Anna Galvin > 9(2)(a)

Subject: [IN-CONFIDENCE]Feedback on FTA267 - Mananui Mineral Sands Project

Kia ora Neil and Meredith

This listed project application is for FTA267 - Mananui Mineral Sands Project.

The application is for sand extraction. There do not appear to be any previous applications through a standard resource consent process.

The application refers to consultation with DoC, and re-locating arboreal gecko and bats if found to be present.

Could you please provide comment on:

- whether the project requires any DoC-related approvals
- if you are aware of anything that would render the project ineligible under clause 18 of the Bill
- if you are aware of any Treaty settlement matters which relate to the project site such as local protocols
- any other relevant matter?

If possible, could you please provide your feedback by COB 6 June 2024.

Please let me know if there is anything I can do to assist.

Ngā mihi Steph

Stephanie McNicholl (she/her)

Ngāpuhi, Ngāi Te Rangi, Ngāti Ranginui

Senior Policy Analyst | Kaitātari Kaupapa Here Matua

Ministry staff work flexibly by default. For me, this means I work 100% remotely. Also, you may receive an email from me outside of usual working hours. Please respond at a time that is convenient for you.













Caution - This message and accompanying data may contain information that is confidential or subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message or data is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We apologise for the inconvenience. Thank you.