Jacob Paget

From: Reid Whanau S 9(2)(a)

Sent: Wednesday, 5 June 2024 4:33 pm

To: Listed Projects

Subject: Re: [COMMERCIAL]Information Request - Blacksans project

MFE CYBER SECURITY WARNING

This email originated from outside our organisation. Please take extra care when clicking on any links or opening any attachments.

Hello

To answer your questions in your previous email.

For the property trialling petrochemical extraction I can confirm $s \cdot 9(2)(b)(ii)$ is listed as general land. However, an application has been submitted to the Maori Land Court disputing ownership of $s \cdot 9(2)(b)(ii)$ ' changed to general land as per 'original ownership documents'.

My ability to undertake extraction of minerals will vary as I may do this myself sourcing a company with petrochemicals extraction experience in the Bay of Plenty. As noted on the application considerable investment maybe be required and have granted the New Zealand Government as first priority to provide venture capital for Project BlackSans negotiating royalties paid to both the Government and private stakeholders (Land Owners) that may include an arrangement for property sale.

s 9(2)(b)(ii)

As it stands there is no committee sitting on Hahau B5B awaiting a decision from Maori Land Court relating to 'Application requesting explanation of failure to submit to a general meeting of shareholders'. s = 9(2)(b)(ii)

. My father will be

making an application to chair the incorporated trust known as Hahau B5B if I may ask for assistance with this matter if needed would be appreciated.

For proposed desktop studies I would closely look at the petroleum chemical report by C. St J. Bremner in 1934 along with local knowledge of pinpointed veins in the area most likely to be successful plays for petrol chemical extraction. Please advise if you require this report.

 $\frac{s}{s} \frac{9(2)(b)}{s}$ District Council would be the local authority for consent purposes with regards.

John Reid

On Wed, Jun 5, 2024 at 9:41 AM Listed Projects < ListedProjects@mfe.govt.nz > wrote:

Kia ora John

We have completed an initial check of your application information. While the FTA Bill only requires a relatively high level of information to be provided with applications, your application would benefit from additional information for the Advisory Group to assess your application. Please consider whether there is

any additional information you could provide which would better enable your application can be fully assessed by the Advisory Group.

At a minimum, could you please confirm:

- For the property for trialling petrochemical extraction we understand this may be proposed on a deceased estate, and, this is noted as Maori land. Can you clarify legal status of ownership to enable extraction of minerals, and your ability to undertake the work?
- Consultation you have noted \$ 9(2)(b)(ii) but no further detail. Please provide more detail around who and when consultation will take place, particularly noting the project area is connected to Maori owned land parcels with no statement as to whom iwi/hapu/whanau are who have been consulted?
- You may also want to consider whether the project description enables the Group to fully understand what the project entails, and whether any Treaty settlements apply to the project site?
- For proposed desktop studies proposed, how do these relate to the trial site?
- Please clarify who the "Central Regional Council" is? Is this \$ 9(2)(b) District Council, Hawkes Bay or Bay of Plenty Region?

Please contact the Listed Project team at listedprojects@mfe.govt.nz if you have any questions.

Ngā mihi nui

MfE Listed projects team

