

In Confidence

Office of the Minister for the Environment

Chair, Cabinet

COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Quarterdeck and North) Amendment Order 2023

Proposal

- 1 This paper seeks authorisation for submission to the Executive Council of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Quarterdeck and North) Amendment Order 2023 (the Amendment Order).
- 2 The Amendment Order amends the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects Order 2020 to include as projects referred to an expert consenting panel (panel):
 - 2.1 Box Property Investment Limited's Quarterdeck Project (Schedule 74)
 - 2.2 617 New North Limited's North Project (Schedule 75).

Executive Summary

- 3 The COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020 (FTCA) is one of the Government's temporary actions to support New Zealand's economic recovery from COVID-19. The FTCA enables any person to apply to me, as Minister for the Environment, to fast-track certain approvals required under the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) for their project.
- 4 Projects that I accept are referred to a panel by an amendment to the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects Order 2020, subject to Cabinet's agreement. This allows an applicant to lodge resource consent applications and/or notices of requirement for a designation with the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for consideration by a panel.
- 5 Before granting RMA approvals a panel must conduct a merit-based assessment of the project's actual and potential effects and test these against the requirements of both Part 2 of the RMA and the purpose of the FTCA.
- 6 I received applications from:
 - 6.1 Box Property Investments Limited (BPIL) to fast-track the Quarterdeck Project
 - 6.2 617 New North Limited (NNL) to fast-track the North Project
- 7 The Quarterdeck Project is a residential development in Cockle Bay, Auckland. The North Project is a nine-storey mixed-use building in Morningside, Auckland.

- 8 I sought written comments on the applications from the relevant local authorities, relevant Ministers prescribed by the FTCA, and from Auckland Transport and Watercare Services Limited (Watercare).
- 9 For the North Project, I also sought comments from Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT).
- 10 For each project I considered all comments received and the reports prepared under section 17 of the FTCA. I also requested and considered further information from the applicants.
- 11 I have accepted both projects for referral as I am satisfied they meet the eligibility criteria specified in section 18 of the FTCA. The projects will help achieve the FTCA's purpose by generating employment, increasing housing supply and contributing to a well-functioning urban environment.
- 12 I consider both projects will likely progress faster using the FTCA processes than if consents were sought through standard RMA processes, and any actual and potential effects on the environment, together with any measures to mitigate, offset or compensate for adverse effects, can be considered and determined by a panel subject to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the purpose of the FTCA.
- 13 I now seek authorisation for submission of the Amendment Order to the Executive Council. The Amendment Order enables BPIL and NNL to apply via the EPA to a panel for the relevant approvals needed under the RMA for their respective projects, in accordance with the process in the FTCA.

Background

- 14 The FTCA is one of the Government's temporary actions to support New Zealand's economic recovery from COVID-19, by promoting employment and supporting ongoing investment. Access to the FTCA provisions remains in place until the FTCA is repealed in July 2023.
- 15 The FTCA enables any person to apply to me, in my role as Minister for the Environment, to access the fast-track consenting process for their project. If I accept an application, the project is referred by Order in Council – specifically, via an amendment that adds the project as a new schedule to the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects Order 2020 – subject to Cabinet's agreement. This allows an applicant to lodge a resource consent application or notice of requirement for a designation with the EPA for consideration and decision by a panel.
- 16 Panels are appointed by Panel Convener Judge Laurie Newhook and are constituted according to the specific representation, skills and knowledge required for the project under consideration. Before a panel grants any RMA approvals for the project it must, among other things, consider comments from invited parties on the applications for these approvals, assess the project's actual and potential effects, and test these effects against the requirements of Part 2 of the RMA and the purpose of the FTCA. As part of this process the panel may seek further information from a range of parties, including those invited to comment.
- 17 I receive weekly updates on the projects accessing the fast-track provisions and their progress.

- 18 As of 16 May 2023, 167 applications have been made under the FTCA to refer projects to a panel, of which:
- 18.1 70 projects have been referred and Orders in Council gazetted. Details are in Appendix one. In summary, for these projects:
 - 18.1.1 34 have been granted RMA approvals by a panel (Kapuni Green, Ariki Tahi Sugarloaf Wharf, Botanic Riverhead are under appeal)
 - 18.1.2 2 has had applications for RMA approvals declined by a panel (Flints Park - Ladies Mile is under appeal)
 - 18.1.3 16 are under active panel consideration
 - 18.1.4 16 have yet to lodge RMA applications
 - 18.1.5 2 have subsequently decided not to seek RMA approvals through the panel process.
 - 18.2 12 projects have been accepted for referral and are awaiting Orders in Council. This includes the projects that are the subject of this paper
 - 18.3 16 referral applications are being processed and are yet to receive referral decisions
 - 18.4 16 referral applications have been declined for a range of reasons including not meeting the purpose of the FTCA and it being more appropriate for them to go through the standard RMA consenting process
 - 18.5 2 referral applications have been withdrawn by the applicants.
- 19 I am not required to make referral decisions on projects listed in Schedule 2 of the FTCA. Authorised persons or entities for these projects may lodge their applications for RMA approvals with the EPA at any time while the relevant FTCA provisions are in force. An update on the listed projects is in Appendix two.

Project for referral: BPIL's Quarterdeck Project

- 20 BPIL applied to use the fast-track consenting process for the Quarterdeck Project. The project is to subdivide 0.54 hectares of land and construct approximately 70 residential units within 12 two-storey terraced houses (up to 6.5 metres high) and three four-storey apartment buildings (up to 13.8 metres high) at 30 and 40 Sandspit Road, 2 and 4 Reydon Place, and adjacent road reserves, in Cockle Bay, Howick, Auckland. The project will include approximately 96 basement car parks for the apartments, communal parking for the terraced houses and communal outdoor areas.
- 21 I sought written comments on the referral application from the relevant local authority (Auckland Council), from relevant Ministers as determined by section 21(6) of the FTCA, and from Auckland Transport and Watercare.
- 22 To better understand the resource consents required, the effect of instruments on the project records of title, other approvals required and the nature of the applicant entity, I sought further information under section 22 of the FTCA from the applicant.

Overview of comments

- 23 s 9(2)(f)(ii), s 9(2)(g)(i)
- 24 s 9(2)(f)(ii), s 9(2)(g)(i)
- 25 s 9(2)(f)(ii), s 9(2)(g)(i)
- 26 s 9(2)(f)(ii), s 9(2)(g)(i)
- 27 Auckland Council supported project referral but noted downstream stormwater flooding problems and capacity issues in the local water and wastewater networks. Auckland Council requested that if I refer the project I ensure the 93 submitters who were party to Environment Court proceedings (pursuant to section 274 of the RMA) for (now withdrawn) resource consent application BUN60356953, relating to a previous development proposal on the project site, are invited to comment on consent applications for the project, as well as all persons who were directly served with a notification letter on application BUN60356953. I have agreed to direct a panel to seek comment from the submitters who were party to the Environment Court process for BUN60356953 rather than the parties who were served notice for the application, as I consider they are the parties with a demonstrated interest in the project.
- 28 Auckland Transport did not oppose project referral but requested that if the project is referred I require the applicant to provide a full transport impact assessment with their resource consent applications to a panel. I note this is a typical requirement of resource consent applications and is not necessary to specify in a referral order.
- 29 Watercare opposed project referral and noted there are capacity constraints in the local water and wastewater network and the applicant will either need to upgrade the networks or install on-site solutions to address these issues. Watercare noted it is open to working with the developer to ensure development of the site will not result in significant adverse effects to its water and wastewater networks. I have included Watercare as a party from whom a panel must seek comment, to ensure that they can provide direct input to the consideration of consent applications for the project.
- 30 Auckland Council, Auckland Transport and Watercare also requested other directions to the applicant and the panel that I have considered.

Decision

- 31 In making my decision, and in accordance with section 24 of the FTCA, I considered the application and further information received, all comments and requests for directions to the applicant and a panel provided in response to my invitation, and the eligibility criteria in section 18 of the FTCA. I also considered the report prepared under section 17 of the FTCA.
- 32 I have decided to accept BPIL's application for referral of the Quarterdeck Project to a panel. I am satisfied the project meets the eligibility criteria in sections 18(2) and 18(3) of the FTCA. I consider it will help achieve the FTCA purpose as it has the potential to:
- 32.1 generate employment by creating approximately 177 full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs over a two-year design and construction period
 - 32.2 increase housing supply by constructing approximately 70 residential units
 - 32.3 contribute to a well-functioning urban environment by increasing the variety in homes available to meet differing needs
 - 32.4 progress faster than would otherwise be the case under standard Resource Management Act 1991 process.
- 33 The FTCA requires a panel to invite comments from the relevant local authorities, relevant iwi authorities and Treaty settlement entities, specific Ministers, owners and occupiers of adjacent land, specific non-governmental organisations and other groups listed in the FTCA¹. In addition to these requirements and to address matters raised, I have decided to specify a panel must seek comment on BPIL's resource consent applications from Auckland Transport, Watercare, submitters who were party to Environment Court proceedings on BUN60356953, Hauraki Māori Trust Board, Te Ahiwaru Trust (formerly Makaurau Marae Māori Trust), Te Ara Rangatu o Te Iwi o Ngāti Te Ata Waiohū and Ngāti Te Ata Claims Support Whānau Trust as listed in Appendix three.
- 34 I consider any actual and potential effects on the environment, together with any measures to mitigate, offset or compensate for adverse effects, can be appropriately tested by a panel against Part 2 of the RMA and the purpose of the FTCA.
- 35 I have not decided under section 24(2) of the FTCA to:
- 35.1 limit the scope of the project by referring it only in part
 - 35.2 refer the project in stages
 - 35.3 place any restrictions on the project
 - 35.4 impose specific timeframes for panel consideration.

Project for referral: NNL's North Project

- 36 NNL applied to use the fast-track consenting process for the North Project. The project is to construct a nine storey (29.3 metres high) mixed-use building at 617–619 New

¹ Clause 17(6) of Schedule 6, FTCA.

North Road, Kingsland, Auckland and in the adjacent road reserves, providing approximately 44 residential units, 34 visitor accommodation units, and including commercial activities. The project will include removing a pre-1900 building, two basement levels providing space for 27 carparks and bicycle and scooter parking, a rooftop communal space, and associated infrastructure including for vehicle and pedestrian access, and for three-waters services.

- 37 I sought written comments on the referral application from the relevant local authority (Auckland Council), from relevant Ministers as determined by section 21(6) of the FTCA, and from Auckland Transport, Watercare and HNZPT.
- 38 To better understand the nature of the applicant entity, I sought further information under section 22 of the FTCA from the applicant.

Overview of comments

- 39 s 9(2)(f)(ii), s 9(2)(g)(i)

- 40 s 9(2)(f)(ii), s 9(2)(g)(i)

- 41 Auckland Transport did not oppose project referral and requested if the project is referred I require a panel to invite Auckland Transport's comments on resource consent applications for the project, and direct the applicant to provide updated architectural plans and a traffic assessment report. I note these are typical requirements of resource consent applications which are not necessary to specify in a referral order.
- 42 Auckland Council supported project referral but noted effects of building height and effects on the adjacent heritage building were potentially significant issues. Auckland Council's comments included feedback from the Healthy Waters department which did not recommend project referral because of capacity constraints in the local stormwater network which the applicant will need to address either through upgrades or on-site solutions.
- 43 Watercare did not oppose project referral and noted there is sufficient capacity in the local drinking water and wastewater networks to service the project.
- 44 HNZPT opposed project referral and considered, among other things, that the project does not promote the protection of historic heritage, which is a consideration when determining whether a project helps achieves the purpose of the FTCA. HNZPT raised concerns about the impacts the proposed building's height, bulk and scale may have on the surrounding environment, including the adjoining scheduled heritage building. HNZPT also noted the project site is a recorded archaeological site and applicant will require an archaeological authority under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.

- 45 Auckland Council, Watercare and HNZPT also requested directions to the applicant and the panel that I have considered.

Decision

- 46 In making my decision, and in accordance with section 24 of the FTCA, I considered the application and further information received, all comments and requests for directions to the applicant and a panel provided in response to my invitation, and the eligibility criteria in section 18 of the FTCA. I also considered the report prepared under section 17 of the FTCA.
- 47 I have decided to accept NNL's application for referral of the North Project to a panel. I am satisfied the project meets the eligibility criteria in sections 18(2) and 18(3) of the FTCA. I consider it will help achieve the FTCA purpose as it has the potential to:
- 47.1 generate employment by creating approximately 143 FTE jobs over a two-year design and construction period
 - 47.2 increase housing supply by constructing approximately 44 residential units
 - 47.3 contribute to a well-functioning urban environment by increasing housing supply in a local centre and within a walkable catchment of a rapid transit stop
 - 47.4 progress faster than would otherwise be the case under standard Resource Management Act 1991 process.
- 48 The FTCA requires a panel to invite comments from the relevant local authorities, relevant iwi authorities and Treaty settlement entities, specific Ministers, owners and occupiers of adjacent land, specific non-governmental organisations and other groups listed in the FTCA². In addition to these requirements and to address matters raised, I have decided to specify a panel must seek comment on NNL's resource consent applications from Auckland Transport, Watercare, HNZPT, Hauraki Māori Trust Board, Ngāti Paoa Iwi Trust, Ngāti Paoa Trust Board, Te Patukirikiri Iwi Trust and Tūpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust, as listed in Appendix four.
- 49 I consider any actual and potential effects on the environment, together with any measures to mitigate, offset or compensate for adverse effects, can be appropriately tested by a panel against Part 2 of the RMA and the purpose of the FTCA.
- 50 I have not decided under section 24(2) of the FTCA to:
- 50.1 limit the scope of the project by referring it only in part
 - 50.2 refer the project in stages
 - 50.3 place any restrictions on the project
 - 50.4 impose specific timeframes for panel consideration.

² Clause 17(6) of Schedule 6, FTCA.

Timing and 28-day rule

- 51 Cabinet has agreed to waive the 28-day rule for Orders in Council relating to projects to be referred to a panel³. Therefore, the Amendment Order will come into force on the date specified in the Amendment Order. BPIL and NNL may then lodge resource consent applications for their respective projects with the EPA, for consideration by a panel.

Compliance

- 52 The Amendment Order complies with:
- 52.1 the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi
 - 52.2 the rights and freedoms contained in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 or the Human Rights Act 1993
 - 52.3 the principles and guidelines set out in the Privacy Act 2020
 - 52.4 relevant international standards and obligations
 - 52.5 the Legislation Guidelines (2018 edition), which are maintained by the Legislation Design and Advisory Committee.

Regulations Review Committee

- 53 I do not consider that there are grounds for the Regulations Review Committee to draw this Order in Council to the attention of the House of Representatives under Standing Order 319.

Certification by Parliamentary Counsel Office

- 54 The Amendment Order has been certified by the Parliamentary Counsel Office as being in order for submission.

Impact Analysis

Regulatory Impact Assessment

- 55 The Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) requirements for this proposal have been waived. Cabinet has agreed that a RIA is not required for Orders in Council relating to projects to be referred to a panel⁴.

Climate Implications of Policy Assessment

- 56 The Climate Implications of Policy Assessment (CIPA) team at the Ministry for the Environment has been consulted and confirms that the CIPA requirements⁵ do not apply to the project.

³ ENV-20-MIN-0033 and CAB-20-MIN-0353 refer

⁴ ENV-20-MIN-0033 and CAB-20-MIN-0353 refer

⁵ CO (20) 3 refers

Publicity

- 57 The Amendment Order will be available on the New Zealand Legislation website following its notification in the New Zealand Gazette.
- 58 To meet my obligations under section 25 of the FTCA, I have directed the Ministry for the Environment to make my decisions to refer the projects to a panel for consideration, the reasons for these decisions, and the reports obtained under section 17 of the FTCA available to the public on the Ministry for the Environment's website.

Proactive release

- 59 I intend to proactively release this paper on the Ministry for the Environment's website subject to redaction as appropriate under the Official Information Act 1982.

Consultation

- 60 The Ministry for the Environment sought comment on this paper from Te Tūāpapa Kura Kāinga Ministry of Housing and Urban Development, Ministry for Culture and Heritage, Te Puni Kōkiri, Ministry of Education, Department of Corrections, Land Information New Zealand, Treasury, Ministry of Transport, Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, Ministry for Primary Industries, Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Defence, Department of Internal Affairs, Department of Conservation and The Office for Māori Crown Relations – Te Arawhiti.

Recommendations

I recommend that Cabinet:

- 1 **note** that I have decided under section 24 of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020 to accept the applications for referral of the following projects to a panel:
 - 1.1 Box Property Investments Limited's Quarterdeck Project
 - 1.2 617 New North Limited's North Project
- 2 **note** that the Quarterdeck Project meets the eligibility criteria in section 18(3) of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020, and will help achieve the Act's purpose, as required by section 18(2) of the Act, as it has the potential to:
 - 2.1 generate employment by creating approximately 177 full-time equivalent jobs over a two-year design and construction period
 - 2.2 increase housing supply by constructing approximately 70 residential units
 - 2.3 contribute to a well-functioning urban environment by increasing the variety in homes available to meet differing needs
 - 2.4 progress faster than would otherwise be the case under standard Resource Management Act 1991 process
- 3 **note** that the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Quarterdeck and North) Amendment Order 2023 requires an expert consenting panel appointed to consider Box Property Investment Limited's Quarterdeck Project to seek comments from Auckland Transport, Watercare, submitters who were party to Environment Court proceedings on BUN60356953, Hauraki Māori Trust Board, Te Ahiwaru Trust (formerly Makaurau Marae Māori Trust), Te Ara Rangatū o Te Iwi o Ngāti Te Ata Waiohū and Ngāti Te Ata Claims Support Whānau Trust, as listed in Appendix three
- 4 **note** that the North Project meets the eligibility criteria in section 18(3) of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020, and will help achieve the Act's purpose, as required by section 18(2) of the Act, as it has the potential to:
 - 4.1 generate employment by creating approximately 143 full-time equivalent jobs over a two-year design and construction period
 - 4.2 increase housing supply by constructing approximately 44 residential units
 - 4.3 contribute to a well-functioning urban environment by increasing housing supply in a local centre and within a walkable catchment of a rapid transit stop
 - 4.4 progress faster than would otherwise be the case under standard Resource Management Act 1991 process
- 5 **note** that the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Quarterdeck and North) Amendment Order 2023 requires an expert consenting panel appointed to consider 617 New North Limited's North Project to seek comments from Auckland Transport, Watercare, HNZPT, Hauraki Māori Trust Board, Ngāti Paoa Iwi

Trust, Ngāti Paoa Trust Board, Te Patukirikiri Iwi Trust and Tūpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust, as listed in Appendix four

- 6 **authorise** submission of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Quarterdeck and North) Amendment Order 2023 to the Executive Council
- 7 **note** that on 27 July 2020, Cabinet agreed to waive the standard 28-day rule relating to Orders in Council made under the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020 [CAB-20-MIN-0353 refers]. Consequently, the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Referred Projects (Quarterdeck and North) Amendment Order 2023 will come into force on the date specified in the Amendment Order.

Authorised for lodgement

Hon David Parker

Minister for the Environment

Appendix one – Referred projects under the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020

Referred projects with Orders in Council gazetted		
Project - Location	Applicant	EPA Status
Clutha Upper Waitaki Lines Project - Works and Workers' Village - Clutha Upper Waitaki	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Not progressing through FTC
Northbrook Wanaka Retirement Village - Wanaka	Winton Property Limited	Consented by Panel (4 August 2021)
Kohimarama Comprehensive Care Retirement Village - Kohimarama, Auckland	Ryman Healthcare Limited	Consented by Panel (12 May 2021)
Molesworth Street Office Development - Thorndon, Wellington	Prime Property Group Limited	Consented by Panel (12 November 2021)
The Vines Affordable Subdivision - Richmond, Tasman	Jason and Angela Mudgway	Declined by Panel (17 September 2021)
Dominion Road Mixed-use Development - Mount Eden, Auckland	Pudong Housing Development Company Limited, Foodstuffs North Island Limited, and Silk Road Management Limited	Consented by Panel (29 September 2021)
Ohinewai Foam Factory - Ohinewai, Waikato	Ambury Properties Limited	Consented by Panel (24 August 2021)
Eastern Porirua Regeneration Project - Infrastructure Works - Porirua	Kāinga Ora-Homes and Communities' and Porirua City Council	Consented by Panel (9 September 2022)
Silverlight Studios - Wanaka	Silverlight Studios Limited	Consented by Panel (8 December 2021)
Brennan winery, restaurant, education, and event complex - Gibbston Valley, Otago	Otago Viticulture and Oenology Limited's (trading as Brennan Wines)	Not progressing through FTC
Nola Estate - Glen Eden, Auckland	CPM 2019 Limited	Consented by Panel (1 October 2021)
Wooring Tree Estate – Cromwell	Wooring Tree Property Development LP	Consented by Panel (29 September 2021)
Kapuni Green Hydrogen - Kapuni, Taranaki	Hiringa Energy Limited's and Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Consented by Panel (1 December 2021) Currently under appeal
New Dunedin Hospital – Whakatuputupu – Dunedin Package 1 – enabling works	The Minister of Health's and the Ministry of Health	Consented by Panel (23 December 2021)
New Dunedin Hospital – Whakatuputupu – Dunedin Package 2 - buildings	The Minister of Health's and the Ministry of Health	Consented by Panel (17 August 2022)

Faringdon South West and South East Development - Rolleston	Hughes Developments Limited's	Consented by Panel (27 August 2021)
Summerset Retirement Village - Waikanae - Waikanae	Summerset Villages (Waikanae) Limited	Consented by Panel (23 September 2021)
Beachlands Housing Development - Beachlands, Auckland	Neil Construction Limited and Fletcher Residential Limited	Consented by Panel (23 May 2022)
Kōpū Marine Precinct - Kōpū, Thames	Thames-Coromandel District Council	Consented by Panel (9 March 2022)
Whakatāne Commercial Boat Harbour project - Whakatāne	Whakatāne District Council, Te Rāhui Lands Trust, Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Awa, Ngāti Awa Group Holdings Limited, Te Rāhui Lands General Partner Limited, Te Rāhui Herenga Waka Whakatane Limited, and Provincial Growth Fund Limited	Consented by Panel (22 June 2022)
Karaka North Village - Karaka, Auckland	Capella Group Limited and Karaka North Village Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Ōmāhu Residential Development - Remuera, Auckland	Urban Resort Limited and Icon Co Pty (NZ) Limited	Consented by Panel (14 February 2022)
George St Mixed Use Development - Newmarket, Auckland	Newmarket Holdings Development Limited Partnership	Lodgement expected 2023
Otago Water Storage Reservoir - Northland	Te Tai Tokerau Water Trust	Consented by Panel (18 July 2022)
Drury Central & Paerata Stations - Auckland	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Consented by Panel (3 February 2022)
Rangitane Maritime Development - Kerikeri	Far North District Council & Far North Holdings Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Brickfields, Scott Road Development - Hobsonville, Auckland	Aedifice Development Limited	Consented by Panel (24 March 2022)
Melia Place - Whangaparāoa, Auckland	Melia Development Limited	Consented by Panel (14 June 2022)
Oruku Landing - Whangārei, Northland	Northland Development Corporation Limited	Lodgement expected mid 2023
Riverbend Residential Development - Napier	Tawanui Developments Limited, K3 Properties Limited & Mana Ahuriri Holdings Limited	Lodgement expected early 2023
Silverlight Studios Accommodation - Wanaka, Otago	Silverlight Studios Limited	Consented by Panel (18 February 2022)
Drury Centre Precinct - Auckland	Kiwi Property Holdings No 2	Under consideration by Panel
Waihoehoe Precinct - Auckland	Oyster Capital Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Drury East Stage 1 Precinct – Auckland	Fulton Hogan Land Development	Under consideration by Panel

Flints Park, Ladies Mile – Te Pūtahi, Queenstown	Glenpanel Development Limited	Declined by Panel (30 November) Currently under appeal
Federal Street Residences, Auckland	P0012 Auckland NZ Pty Limited	Consented by Panel (28 July 2022)
Bellgrove Development, Rangiora	Bellgrove Rangiora Limited	Consented by Panel (29 June 2022)
Lakeview-Taumata	QT Lakeview Developments Limited	Consented by Panel (16 December 22)
Summerset Retirement Village, Half Moon Bay, Auckland	Summerset Villages (Halfmoon Bay) Limited	Consented by Panel (11 April 2023)
Browns Bay Road Apartments, Auckland	Matvin Group Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Te Tauoma Stage 1B, St Johns, Auckland	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Consented by Panel (15 February 2023)
Kaiwharawhara Wellington Ferry Terminal Redevelopment	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Consented by Panel (25 January 2023)
The Rotokauri North project, Hamilton	Rotokauri North Holdings Limited	Consented by Panel (27 July 2022)
Tauhei Farm Solar Project, Te Aroha	Harmony Energy New Zealand Limited	Consented by Panel (20 September 2022)
The Hill, Ellerslie, Auckland	Auckland Thoroughbred Racing Incorporated & Fletcher Residential Limited	Consented by Panel (17 April 2023)
Ariki Tahi Sugarloaf Wharf Upgrade, Waikato	Ariki Tahi Sugarloaf Wharf Limited	Consented by Panel (20 September) Currently under appeal
Hananui Aquaculture Project, Foveaux Strait	Ngāi Tahu Seafood Resources Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Flints Park West, Ladies Mile – Te Pūtahi, Queenstown	Glenpanel Development Limited	Lodgement expected late 2023
Waimarie Street, St Heliers, Auckland	Sanctum Projects Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Te Rere Hau Wind Farm Repowering, Manawatu	NZ Windfarms Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Bontanic Riverhead, Auckland	Matvin Group Limited	Consented by Panel (29 March 2023) Currently under appeal
Whenuapai Business Park, Auckland	Neil Construction Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Whenuapai Green, Auckland	Neil Construction Limited & Maraetai Land Development Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Faringdon Oval, Rolleston, Christchurch	Hughes Development Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Glenpanel Ladies Mile, Te Pūtahi	Maryhill Limited	Lodgement expected 2023

Kepa Road Apartments, Auckland	Sanctum Projects Ltd	Under consideration by Panel
Wellsford North, Auckland	Wellsford Welding Club Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Johnsonville Town Centre Redevelopment, Wellington	Stride Property Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Waiterimu Solar Farm, Waikato	Waikato Solar Farms Limited/ Transpower NZ Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Tauranga Innovative Courthouse, Bay of Plenty	Ministry of Justice	Consented by Panel (19 January 2023)
East Coast Heights, Silverdale	Build Rich Limited / Nation Shine Holdings Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
East Coast Heights, Stage 5 Silverdale	Build Rich Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Strathmill, Orewa	Shildon Ltd	Under consideration by Panel
Great South Homes Park, Auckland	Unispot Great South Limited	Under consideration by Panel
Waikanae North, Wellington	Bulletin Trust	Lodgement expected 2023
Rangiriri Solar Farm, Waikato	Joint Applicants: Rangiriri Solar Farm Limited and Transpower NZ Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Waerenga Solar Farm, Waikato	Joint Applicants: Waerenga Solar Farm Limited and Transpower NZ Limited	Lodgement expected 2023
Wooing Tree Stage 4, Otago	Wooing Tree Property Development	Under consideration by Panel
Glen Innes to Tamaki shared path, Auckland	Auckland Transport	Under consideration by Panel
Wairatahi	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Lodgement expected 2023
Upland Road Retirement Village	HND Upland Limited and St Andrew's Village Trust Incorporated	Lodgement expected 2023

Appendix two – Status of projects listed in Schedule 2 of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020

Listed Project	Status
Matawii Water Storage Reservoir Kaikohe	Consents have been approved.
Papakāinga Development – Rāpaki, Christchurch	Consents have been approved.
Te Ara Tupua – Ngā Ūranga to Pito-one shared path	Consents have been approved.
Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment	Consents have been approved.
Queenstown Arterials Project	Consents have been approved.
Papakāinga Development - Kaitaia	Consents have been approved.
Papakura to Pukekōhe rail electrification	Package 1 - consents have been approved. Package 2 – minor consents - unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Papakura to Drury South State Highway 1 improvements	Package 1 - consents have been approved. Package 2 – application lodged October in progress.
Unitec Residential Development	Application 1 - consents have been approved. Application 2 - consents have been approved. Application 3 – consents have been approved.
Papakāinga Development – Waitara, Taranaki	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Northern Pathway – Westhaven to Akoranga shared path	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Te Pā Tāhuna Residential Development	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Papakāinga Development - Point Chevalier, Auckland	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Papakāinga development – Whaingaroa, Raglan	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Papakāinga Development - Chatham Islands	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Wellington Metro Upgrade Programme	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.
Britomart Station eastern end upgrade	Unlikely to proceed under fast-track at this stage.

Appendix three – Additional requirements specified by the Minister for the Environment under section 24(2) of the FTCA for Box Property Investments Limited's Quarterdeck Project

An expert consenting panel appointed to consider Box Property Investments Limited's resource consent applications for the Quarterdeck Project must seek comments from the following additional persons/organisations:

1. Auckland Transport
2. Watercare Services Limited
3. Submitters who were party to Environment Court proceedings on BUN60356953
4. Hauraki Māori Trust Board
5. Te Ahiwaru Trust (formerly Makaurau Marae Māori Trust)
6. Te Ara Rangatū o Te Iwi o Ngāti Te Ata Waiohū
7. Ngāti Te Ata Claims Support Whānau Trust.

Appendix four – Additional requirements specified by the Minister for the Environment under section 24(2) of the FTCA for 617 New North Limited's North Project

An expert consenting panel appointed to consider 617 New North Limited's resource consent applications for the North Project must seek comments from the following additional persons/organisations:

1. Auckland Transport
2. Watercare Services Limited
3. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga
4. Hauraki Māori Trust Board
5. Ngāti Paoa Iwi Trust
6. Ngāti Paoa Trust Board
7. Te Patukirikiri Iwi Trust
8. Tūpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust.