From: Rob Delonge's 9(2)(a)

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2022 1:38 PM

To: Richard Peers \$ 9(2)(a)

Subject: FW: 2-4 Reydon Place

Hi Richard,

Sorry for the delay in responding.

An objective stated in the Auckland Unitary Plan and Urban Ngahere Strategy Plan is to protect, grow and increase tree cover in roads, particularly within areas identified for intensified living. Personally, tree preservation does play an important role, more than extension of tree canopy cover as newly planted trees are an expense until they are mature. On the contrary, this semi-mature tree does provide a value and ecosystem service benefit with little expense already.

With regard to the regreening fee, please get the applicant to confirm the following:

- 1. Please supply full contact details for the applicant/landowner (full name, postal address, email address, phone number)
- 2. A value of \$7,923.68 (GST inclusive) has been calculated for the subject Bottlebrush tree.

The amount will be invoiced, should the applicant accept the rationale for tree removal, and I will complete a TOA to formalise the agreement.

Regards, Rob

From: Richard Peers < s 9(2)(a)
Sent: Friday, 21 October 2022 3:05 pm

To: Rob Delonge S 9(2)(a) **Subject:** RE: 2-4 Reydon Place

Thanks for your comments, Rob.

I can give you the context of this overall proposal – and a further explanation from the client that bolsters the rationale of why this location is the most suitable for vehicle ingress/egress.

If you study the attached parking layout plan you will gain an appreciation of the scale of this proposal. It shows two vehicle entries – one each off the two minor streets. Below is the further explanation;

We could also add that the crossing can only go in this location due to proposed planned basement carpark and apartment buildings 9above the basement) closer to the Sandspit/Reydon intersection & an intersection is required on Reydon due to the RL differences between the proposed on grade carpark & basement carpark

The landscape plan is still being worked on by a top landscape design company, but I have seen a draft — which shows 12 new street trees being incorporated — on all three street berms — Trelawn Place, Reydon Place and Sandspit Rd. If the Bottlebrush is removed there will be space for one more = 13 in total. As I mentioned, they propose M. Maori Princess. If you have any comment you might like to put forward

The Environmental Lawyers
Level 4, The B:Hive, 72 Taharoto Rd
S 9(2)(a)
www.theenvironmentallawyers.co.nz

about species selection, etc, please do, and I will forward it on. If I may say so, I would say that that is absolutely more than adequate mitigation for the effects of removing the Bottlebrush especially being a species with a long-term future.

We look forward to your thoughts, Rob.

Regards

Richard Peers Director



s 9(2)(a)

631 7610

s 9(2)(a)

www.peersbrownmiller.co.nz

From: Rob DelongeS 9(2)(a)

Sent: Wednesday, 19 October 2022 5:26 p.m.

To: Richard Peers \$ 9(2)(a) **Subject:** RE: 2-4 Reydon Place

Hi Richard,

Could you please confirm if all alternative options have been explored?

Its not the greatest specimen, I have to admit, but still providing value and eco-system service provisions. Thus, I would like to retain this asset for as long as possible.

Regards, Rob

From: Richard Peers \$ 9(2)(a)

Sent: Tuesday, 18 October 2022 9:51 am

To: Rob Delonge S 9(2)(a) **Subject:** 2-4 Reydon Place

Good morning Rob.

A client proposes to redevelop this corner site – with Sandspit Rd.

A Bottlebrush tree is implicated with where they wish to have a new vehicle crossing. They thought there was a chance it could be retained, and sent me a sketch showing a clearance from the tree of just over 1m. Furthermore, that edge of crossing they have drawn is not to AT specs — and there will, of course, need to be a flare — bringing the crossing even closer to the tree. I have advised that the tree cannot be retained and would have to be removed. There is ample scope for the provision of several new street trees — which they are willing to provide via their landscape design. They propose M. Maori Princess.

Below is an explanation of their rationale for wanting to have the crossing there -

- 1. Having the ongrade carpark against the most adjacent neighbours reduces the bulk & scale impact & mitigate any shadowing onto neighbours
- 2. The driveway crossing is as far east as possible from the Intersection of Sandspit & Reydon to mitigate any traffic challenges

I have said that I would run this past you to gain your thoughts in order to give them some certainty going forward. Their design work is not completely finalised at this stage.

Look forward to your response to this.

Best Regards

Richard Peers Director

PEERS BROWN MILLER LTD
AMOUNCULTURAL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

s 9(2)(a)

631 7610 s 9(2)(a)

www.peersbrownmiller.co.nz