

# Fire Risk Assessment

A measure to quantify fire risk for New Zealand  
locations

*Prepared for Ministry for the Environment*

*June 2017*

Prepared by:

Gregor Macara and David Sutherland




For any information regarding this report please contact:

Gregor Macara  
Climate Scientist, Climate Data and Applications  
NIWA  
+64-4-386 0509  
gregor.macara@niwa.co.nz

National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research Ltd  
Private Bag 14901  
Kilbirnie  
Wellington 6241

Phone +64 4 386 0300

NIWA CLIENT REPORT No: 2017187WN  
Report date: June 2017  
NIWA Project: MFE17308

| Quality Assurance Statement   |                          |   |
|---|--------------------------|---|
|  | Reviewed by:             | Dr Andrew Tait<br>Principal Scientist - Climate |
|  | Formatting checked by:   | P Allen   |
|  | Approved for release by: | Dr Andrew Laing                                 |

---

© All rights reserved. This publication may not be reproduced or copied in any form without the permission of the copyright owner(s). Such permission is only to be given in accordance with the terms of the client's contract with NIWA. This copyright extends to all forms of copying and any storage of material in any kind of information retrieval system.

Whilst NIWA has used all reasonable endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this document is accurate, NIWA does not give any express or implied warranty as to the completeness of the information contained herein, or that it will be suitable for any purpose(s) other than those specifically contemplated during the Project or agreed by NIWA and the Client.

# Contents

- Executive summary ..... 4**
- 1 New Zealand Fire Danger Rating..... 5**
  - 1.1 Calculation of Fire Danger Rating ..... 5
  - 1.2 Selection of regionally-representative sites ..... 6
- 2 Data quality control ..... 8**
  - 2.1 Fire Weather System data ..... 8
- 3 Fire danger analyses ..... 9**
  - 3.1 Example data quality report ..... 11
  - 3.2 Recommendation ..... 12
- 4 Acknowledgements ..... 12**
- 5 Glossary of abbreviations and terms ..... 13**
- 6 References..... 13**

## Tables

- Table 1: Station metadata. 6
- Table 2: Fire Weather System quality control flags. 9

## Figures

- Figure 1: A display board used to communicate daily Fire Danger. 5
- Figure 2: Components required to calculate the Fire Danger Rating. 6
- Figure 3: Average annual number of days with Very High or Extreme fire danger, North Island locations. 10
- Figure 4: Average annual number of days with Very High or Extreme fire danger, South Island. 10
- Figure 5: Annual number of VH+E fire danger days, Blenheim. 11
- Figure 6: Monthly variability of VH+E fire danger days, Blenheim. 11

## Executive summary

The Ministry for the Environment (the Ministry) contracted the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA) to investigate a measure of fire risk that may be appropriate for inclusion in future Atmosphere and Climate domain reports. The New Zealand Fire Danger Rating was used for this study, specifically the monthly and annual number of days of Very High or Extreme (VH+E) fire danger. New Zealand's National Rural Fire Authority (NRFA) uses the Fire Danger Rating System to monitor fire risk in New Zealand. Fire danger ratings are calculated using four weather variables: 24-hour rainfall, temperature, relative humidity and wind speed. These data are stored in NIWA's National Climate Database, and are subject to comprehensive automatic and manual quality control procedures.

Thirty regionally-representative locations were selected for investigation, and analyses demonstrated considerable variability of VH+E fire danger days. Seven stations out of 30 observe an annual average of more than 10 VH+E fire danger days. The annual average number of VH+E fire danger days range from 41 at Tara Hills (inland South Island), to 0 at Hokitika and Milford Sound. Over the course of a year, the number of VH+E fire danger days are typically highest from January to March, and occur rarely during the winter months.

## 1 New Zealand Fire Danger Rating

NIWA currently operates the Fire Weather System (FWS) for the National Rural Fire Authority (NRFA), monitoring daily fire danger at multiple sites around the country (<http://fireweather.nrfa.org.nz/>). The FWS is based on the internationally-recognised Fire Danger Rating System, originally developed in Canada. The system has been modified for New Zealand conditions and has been used by the NRFA for many years.

The Fire Danger Rating System has five categories: Low, Moderate, High, Very High and Extreme (Figure 1). The two highest categories “Very High” or “Extreme” (VH+E) represent a significant risk for large wildfire outbreaks that may require considerable control efforts (e.g. aircraft using chemical fire retardants). Therefore, a count of the number of days where the Fire Danger is VH+E is an excellent indicator of the wildfire risk at that location for a given month or year.



Figure 1: A display board used to communicate daily Fire Danger.

### 1.1 Calculation of Fire Danger Rating

Daily fire danger rating is calculated at approximately 210 New Zealand climate stations. It is calculated using a combination of four weather variables (wind speed, relative humidity, temperature and 24-hour rainfall), fuel moisture codes (FFMC, DMC and DC), and fire behaviour indices (ISI, BUI, ROS, HFI). Weather data obtained by NIWA from climate stations is typically in hourly or ten minute intervals, however only midday values of each weather variable are required to calculate the fire danger rating. The fire behaviour indices provide quantitative estimates of fire behaviour based on fuel, topography and weather. Fuel and topography information is unique to each climate station, and has been derived by the NRFA from Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) geographic databases. A fuel type may be one of “forest”, “grass” or “scrub”. Subsequently, the calculation of fire danger ratings at each station is based on the associated fuel type selected. Note a

glossary of abbreviations is provided in Section 5. A schematic of the Fire Danger Rating components is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Components required to calculate the Fire Danger Rating.

### 1.2 Selection of regionally-representative sites

Of the approximately 210 climate stations where fire danger ratings are calculated, 30 were selected for this investigation. The Ministry requested, where possible, that these be the same 30 locations analysed for other climate datasets provided to the Ministry, namely Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch, Whangarei, Hamilton, Tauranga, Taupo, Rotorua, Gisborne, Napier, New Plymouth, Whanganui, Nelson, Timaru, Dunedin, Invercargill, Kerikeri, Whangaparaoa, Taumarunui, Waiouru, Dannevirke, Masterton, Blenheim, Hokitika, Reefton, Lake Tekapo, Tara Hills, Queenstown, Milford Sound and Gore. Table 1 describes the metadata for the stations selected in this study.

Table 1: Station metadata.

| Location     | Station selected        | Latitude, Longitude (decimal degrees) | Fuel type |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Auckland     | Clevedon Coast Raws     | -36.922, 175.008                      | Grass     |
| Blenheim     | Blenheim Aero Aws       | -41.523, 173.865                      | Grass     |
| Christchurch | Bottle Lake Forest Raws | -43.470, 172.682                      | Forest    |
| Dannevirke   | Dannevirke Ews          | -40.208, 176.110                      | Grass     |
| Dunedin      | Traquair Raws           | -45.811, 170.131                      | Forest    |
| Gisborne     | Gisborne SYNOP          | -38.660, 177.984                      | Grass     |

| <b>Location</b> | <b>Station selected</b>          | <b>Latitude, Longitude<br/>(decimal degrees)</b> | <b>Fuel type</b> |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Gore            | Gore Aws                         | -46.115, 168.887                                 | Grass            |
| Hamilton        | Hamilton Aws                     | -37.865, 175.336                                 | Grass            |
| Hokitika        | Hokitika SYNOP                   | -42.712, 170.984                                 | Forest           |
| Invercargill    | Slopedown Raws                   | -46.392, 169.132                                 | Forest           |
| Kerikeri        | Waitangi Forest Raws             | -35.283, 173.986                                 | Forest           |
| Lake Tekapo     | Tekapo Raws                      | -44.001, 170.404                                 | Grass            |
| Masterton       | Holdsworth Station Raws          | -40.912, 175.559                                 | Forest           |
| Milford Sound   | Secretary Island SYNOP           | -45.221, 166.886                                 | Forest           |
| Napier          | Napier Aero SYNOP                | -39.461, 176.859                                 | Grass            |
| Nelson          | Hira Raws                        | -41.282, 173.33667                               | Forest           |
| New Plymouth    | New Plymouth SYNOP               | -39.008, 174.178                                 | Grass            |
| Queenstown      | Queenstown Aero<br>SYNOP         | -45.024, 168.737                                 | Grass            |
| Reefton         | Reefton Ews                      | -42.116, 171.860                                 | Forest           |
| Rotorua         | Rotorua Aero Aws                 | -38.107, 176.316                                 | Grass            |
| Tara Hills      | Tara Hills Aws                   | -44.528, 169.890                                 | Grass            |
| Taumarunui      | Waimarino Forest Raws            | -39.399, 175.189                                 | Forest           |
| Taupo           | Taupo SYNOP                      | -38.744, 176.081                                 | Forest           |
| Tauranga        | Tauranga Aero SYNOP              | -37.673, 176.196                                 | Grass            |
| Timaru          | Timaru Aero SYNOP                | -44.305, 171.225                                 | Grass            |
| Waiouru         | Tapuae Raws                      | -39.995, 175.723                                 | Grass            |
| Wellington      | Wellington Aero SYNOP            | -41.335, 174.805                                 | Grass            |
| Whanganui       | Whanganui, Spriggens<br>Park Ews | -39.939, 175.045                                 | Grass            |
| Whangaparaoa    | Mahurangi Forest Raws            | -36.364, 174.572                                 | Forest           |
| Whangarei       | Whangarei Aero Aws               | -35.769, 174.364                                 | Grass            |

## 2 Data quality control

All climate data used in the calculation of Fire Danger Ratings are stored in NIWA's National Climate Database (CLIDB). While no guarantee is made regarding the accuracy of the data in CLIDB, all reasonable skill and care has been applied so that the data are as reliable as possible.

The following quality control procedure is undertaken for all data in CLIDB. As observed values are transferred into permanent data tables in the database (e.g. MAX\_MIN\_TEMP, RAIN etc.) from temporary input tables (e.g. RMS\_AWS, RMS\_DLYCLI etc.) they are automatically inspected for errors. These are either gross errors when values fall outside very wide universal limits so that an error flag is given the value "E" and the observation is not transferred into CLIDB, or they are potential errors as they lie sufficiently outside of the 1 or 99 percentile for that place/time so that an error flag is given the value "W" and the observation is transferred into CLIDB.

Most data originating from the various data streams entering CLIDB (i.e. of different origins or message types) do so as frequently as possible (e.g. RMS\_AWS is hourly but the suite of UPPER\_AIR messages are every 6 hours). These frequent transfers do not report errors and warnings but daily collectives are also run and the errors and warnings are reported with these runs. The daily collective runs also log any errors or warnings into the ERRLOG and WARNLOG tables except for AWS data which do not have a daily collective but a daily reporting/logging process runs just after midnight. Time series plots centred on the observation with a "W" warning are generated and the 1 and 99 percentiles are also used to standardise observations and facilitate manual checking of the data.

After manual inspection and depending upon the inspection outcome, the data are either unchanged or corrected (with associated quality flags) or deleted from CLIDB. Data remaining in the database are deemed sufficiently high quality for inclusion in the station data record, and for subsequent data analysis. Should users of the climate data query its validity, then additional user-initiated manual data checks are also made.

### 2.1 Fire Weather System data

Specific observations required to calculate the Fire Danger Rating are stored in a permanent Fire Weather System data table (FWSYS\_DATA). These data are either loaded directly into FWSYS\_DATA, or copied from the relevant permanent table. Quality control flags are included for every datum in the Fire Weather System data table (Table 2). Only midday values of the relevant weather variables are required to calculate the Fire Danger Rating. Missing weather variable data are substituted with interpolated data using *ANUSplin*: a software tool that takes data values from irregularly-spaced observing sites and provides interpolated values at regularly spaced grid point locations. Specifically, the data are calculated by the ANUSplin trivariate (three independent variables: easting, northing and a third variable, e.g. elevation) thin-plate smoothing spline interpolation methodology, described by Wratt et al. (2006).



**Table 2: Fire Weather System quality control flags.**

| <b>Code</b> | <b>Reliability</b>    | <b>Comment</b>  |
|-------------|-----------------------|---|
| <b>NULL</b> | As read               | Default for all incoming data that are assumed to be correct                                  |
| <b>1</b>    | Corrected             | Raw data re-entered in correct format, table, etc.  |
| <b>2</b>    | Warning accepted      | Warning from spatial or range checks that has been checked and accepted by human intervention |
| <b>3</b>    | Warning spatial check | Warning arising from spatial check  |
| <b>4</b>    | Warning range check   | Warning arising from range check  |
| <b>5</b>    | Computed estimate     | Value interpolated or derived from other data using a documented procedure or model           |
| <b>6</b>    | Manual estimate       | Value derived from mental arithmetic  |
| <b>7</b>    | Suspect estimate      | A "neutral" value entered as an infill value to complete a time series                        |
| <b>8</b>    | Error                 | Known errors (flagged as awaiting correction or deletion)                                     |

Note, 11 of the 30 stations selected in this study are “Raws” stations, i.e. stations owned and operated by the New Zealand Fire Service (NZFS). In 2011, NIWA carried out a bulk transfer of the data from the NZFS database to CLIDB. Subsequent to the bulk transfer, “Raws” data is ingested directly into CLIDB. NIWA has no record as to the quality control processes performed on the “Raws” data prior to the bulk transfer in 2011. However, these “Raws” data were reprocessed using the data quality control methods described above, and there is no evidence to suggest that climate data at these stations prior to 2011 is any less accurate than post-2011.


Monthly data quality reports of Fire Weather System data are generated automatically for each station. These include the number of days of data available for the month, and specify the percentage of interpolated data for each weather variable. NIWA are confident that the quality of the data is sufficient for analyses and research purposes due to data quality control procedures in place.

### **3 Fire danger analyses**


Monthly and annual counts of days where the fire danger rating was VH+E were calculated from all available data for each of the 30 stations. These data were collated in Excel files and sent to the Ministry. Figures 3 and 4 show the average annual count of VH+E days for North Island and South Island locations, respectively. Napier observes an annual average of 28 days of VH+E fire danger, which is the highest average for North Island locations included in this study. Tara Hills observes an annual average of 41 days of VH+E fire danger, which is the highest average of the South Island

locations included in this study. Wellington observes a relatively high annual average of 15 days of VH+E fire danger, which is likely a result of the strong winds observed in the city. Seven stations out of 30 observe an annual average of more than 10 VH+E fire danger days.


Figures 5 and 6 demonstrate the annual and monthly variability of VH+E fire danger days in Blenheim, respectively. Blenheim’s highest annual total VH+E fire danger days is 74 in 2001, and its lowest annual total is 5 days in 2013. Notably, the Marlborough region received greater than 150% of normal January rainfall in 2013, which may have contributed to the low annual number of days observed. This is because the highest monthly number of days of VH+E fire danger are typically observed in January (Figure 6). In Blenheim, monthly VH+E fire danger days peak from January – March, and VH+E fire danger days are exceptionally uncommon during the winter months.




**Figure 3: Average annual number of days with Very High or Extreme fire danger, North Island locations.**



**Figure 4: Average annual number of days with Very High or Extreme fire danger, South Island.**



**Figure 5: Annual number of VH+E fire danger days, Blenheim.**



**Figure 6: Monthly variability of VH+E fire danger days, Blenheim.**

### 3.1 Example data quality report

The most recent monthly Fire Weather System data quality report (May 2017) was examined to provide insight on the availability and infilling of data for each of the 30 stations selected for this study. NIWA anticipates that these are a fair representation of the typical monthly data quality. These reports are separated into each weather variable below:

#### **24-Hour Rainfall:**

- 31 days of data available at all 30 stations.
- Data infill required for 17 out of 30 stations.
- Percentage of data infilled ranged from 0 – 100%, with an average of 5.1% and a median of 3.2%.

- 100% data infill required at *Secretary Island SYNOP*. The issue at this station appears to be with the transfer of data to FWSYS\_DATA and is under further investigation.

#### **Temperature:**

- 31 days of data available at all 30 stations.
- Data infill required for 9 stations.
- Percentage of data infilled ranged from 0 – 100%, with an average of 4.3% and a median of 0%.
- 100% data infill required at *Secretary Island SYNOP*.

#### **Relative Humidity:**

- 31 days of data available at all 30 stations.
- Data infill required for 9 stations.
- Percentage of data infilled ranged from 0 – 71%, with an average of 3.3% and a median of 0%.
- 71% data infill required at *Secretary Island SYNOP*.

#### **Wind Speed:**

- 31 days of data available at all 30 stations.
- Data infill required for 10 stations.
- Percentage of data infilled ranged from 0 – 100%, with an average of 7.4% and a median of 0%.
- 100% data infill required at *Hira Raws*, which appears to be suffering an issue with its anemometer and is under further investigation.

## **3.2 Recommendation**

The Fire Danger Rating System is a relevant measure of fire risk in New Zealand, and continues to be used by the NRFA. This report examined the monthly and annual count of VH+E fire danger days for 30 New Zealand locations. NIWA is confident that this is a worthwhile index for the Ministry to use in future Atmosphere and Climate domain reports.

In future, the Ministry may wish to include additional locations to subsequent analyses to enable an improved understanding of the regional variability of VH+E fire danger days. Increasing the scope of the investigation to examine all fuel types at each location may be a useful addition to analyses of regional variability. The potential to produce maps from the data analyses could also be explored.

## **4 Acknowledgements**

The authors wish to acknowledge Stuart Waring from the New Zealand Fire Service (NZFS) for permission to use NZFS data in this investigation, and Bernard Miville (NIWA) for assistance with this project.

## 5 Glossary of abbreviations and terms

|       |  |
|-------|--|
| Aws   | Automatic Weather Station. Owned and operated by MetService.   |
| BUI   | Build Up Index. Combines the DMC and DC and represents the total amount of fuel available for combustion.  |
| CLIDB | National Climate Database. Operated by NIWA.   |
| DC    | Drought Code. A rating of the average moisture content of deep, compact, organic layers. This code is a useful indicator of seasonal drought effects of forest fuels and amount of smouldering in deep duff layers and large logs. |
| DMC   | Duff Moisture Code. A rating of the average moisture content of loosely compacted organic layers of moderate depth.  |
| Ews   | Electronic Weather Station. Owned and operated by NIWA.  |
| FFMC  | Fine Fuel Moisture Code. An indicator of the relevant ease of ignition and flammability of fine fuels.   |
| FWS   | Fire Weather System. Operated by NIWA, and monitors daily fire risk throughout New Zealand.  |
| HFI   | Head Fire Intensity. Estimates the intensity of the head of a fire (kW/m).   |
| ISI   | Initial Spread Index. Combines the effect of wind speed and the FFMC, providing a numerical rating of fire spread rate.  |
| LINZ  | Land Information New Zealand.  |
| NIWA  | National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research Ltd.  |
| NRFA  | National Rural Fire Authority  |
| NZFS  | New Zealand Fire Service   |
| Raws  | Rural Automatic Weather Station. Owned and operated by NZFS.   |
| ROS   | Rate of Spread. Combines the ISI and BUI to indicate the intensity of a spreading fire. Dependent on fuel type.  |
| SYNOP | Automatic weather station owned and operated by MetService.  |
| VH+E  | "Very High" or "Extreme" fire danger rating  |

## 6 References

Wratt, D.; A. Tait; G. Griffiths; P. Espie; M. Jessen; J. Keys; M. Ladd; D. Lew; W. Lowther; I. Lynn; N. Mitchell; J. Morton; J. Reid; S. Reid; A. Richardson; J. Sansom and U. Shankar (2006). Climate for crops: Integrating climate data with information about soils and crop requirements to reduce risks in agricultural decision-making. *Meteorological Applications*, 13, pp 305–315.