OUT OF SCOPE

From: Daniel Brown
Sent: Monday, 30 September 2024 1:41 pm
To: Hamish Cuthbert; Lisa Mead; Kristina Healy; David Chittenden; Martin Williams; Alice Barnett; Karen Sky; Chris Drayton; Shirley Chamberlin; Grace Burtin; Humphrey Tapper; Brett Woods; Tanya Perrott; Michael Tucker; Tayla Sumner; Matt Spiro
Cc: Jerome Wyeth; Emma Robertson
Subject: Agenda - follow up meeting on NPS REG [UNCLASSIFIED]

Thanks Hamish et al.

Good suggestions, we had some other things to cover off – so have merged together into an agenda as below.

Topic	**Who**
ESEG NPS REG drafting – issues to discuss that were not covered last week - Use of offsetting and compensation - Putting EMF in NPS policies vs implementation clause - Treatment of "existing environment"	All to discuss
Follow up from issues covered last week - Conflict resolution – allowed v allowable. An NPS-REG as a code v edits and qualifications to numerous other NPS's in existence now and the future. s45A amendment - SEV v ANI – identified in operative plans v notified plans v at any time during consenting - Functional and operation need - not directing an alternatives assessment - After applying an EMF – the test for residual effects	All to discuss

Cheers Daniel

From: Hamish Cuthbert <hamish.cuthbert@meridianenergy.co.nz>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2024 11:52 AM
To: Daniel Brown <Daniel.Brown@mbie.govt.nz>; Lisa Mead <Lisa.Mead@manawaenergy.co.nz>; Kristina Healy <Kristina.Healy@mercury.co.nz>; David Chittenden <David.Chittenden@mfe.govt.nz>; Martin Williams <martin@shakespearechambers.co.nz>; Alice Barnett <Alice.Barnett@genesisenergy.co.nz>; Karen Sky <Karen.Sky@genesisenergy.co.nz>; Chris Drayton <Chris.Drayton@contactenergy.co.nz>; Shirley Chamberlin <Shirley.Chamberlin@mercury.co.nz>; Grace Burtin <Grace.Burtin@manawaenergy.co.nz>; Humphrey Tapper <Humphrey.Tapper@MeridianEnergy.co.nz>; Brett Woods <Brett.Woods@contactenergy.co.nz>; Tanya Perrott <tanya.perrott@tewaihanga.govt.nz>; Michael Tucker <Michael.Tucker@mfe.govt.nz>; Tayla Sumner <Tayla.Sumner@mbie.govt.nz>; Matt Spiro <Matt.Spiro@mfe.govt.nz>
Cc: Jerome Wyeth <jerome.wyeth@slrconsulting.com>; Emma Robertson <Emma.Robertson@mbie.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] follow up meeting on NPS REG [UNCLASSIFIED]

Kia ora koutou

Thank you for meeting last week and for agreeing to a follow up discussion tomorrow.

It seems that are four key issues that, if resolved, could deliver an NPS-REG that is enabling of the REG development that is required and protect against unacceptable environmental outcomes.

We propose that the discussion should focus on four points:

1. Conflict resolution – allowed v allowable. An NPS-REG as a code v edits and qualifications to numerous other NPS's in existence now and the future. s45A amendment.
2. SEV v ANI – identified in operative plans v notified plans v at any time during consenting.
3. Functional and operation need - not directing an alternatives assessment.
4. After applying an EMF – the test for residual effects.

Additionally, if time permits, we would could discuss what is the intended relationship between the NPS-REG and NPS-INF.

Ka kite anō au i a koutou
Hamish