From:	Hamish Cuthbert
To:	Daniel Brown [EXTERNAL] (MBIE); Lisa Mead; Kristina Healy; David Chittenden; Martin Williams; Alice Barnett; Karen Sky; Chris Drayton; Shirley Chamberlin; Grace Burtin; Humphrey Tapper; Brett Woods; Tanya Perrott; Michael Tucker; Tayla Sumner [EXTERNAL] (MBIE); Matt Spiro
Cc:	Jerome Wyeth; Emma Robertson [EXTERNAL] (MBIE)
Subject:	RE: [EXTERNAL] follow up meeting on NPS REG [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date:	Monday, 30 September 2024 11:52:23 am

MFE CYBER SECURITY WARNING
This email originated from outside our organisation. Please take extra care when clicking on any links or opening any attachments.

Kia ora koutou

Thank you for meeting last week and for agreeing to a follow up discussion tomorrow.

It seems that are four key issues that, if resolved, could deliver an NPS-REG that is enabling of the REG development that is required and protect against unacceptable environmental outcomes.

We propose that the discussion should focus on four points:

1. Conflict resolution – allowed v allowable. An NPS-REG as a code v edits and qualifications to numerous other NPS's in existence now and the future. s45A amendment.
2. SEV v ANI – identified in operative plans v notified plans v at any time during consenting.
3. Functional and operation need - not directing an alternatives assessment.
4. After applying an EMF – the test for residual effects.

Additionally, if time permits, we would could discuss what is the intended relationship between the NPS-REG and NPS-INF.

Ka kite anō au i a koutou
Hamish