

Future Post (2011) Limited	Auckland	<p>Establishing three facilities within the Resource Recovery Network</p> <p>The replacement of s 9(2)(b)(ii) is a constant activity. Our project seeks to design, manufacture, and test and introduce into the market a composite plastic alternative to CCA treated, imported s 9(2)(b)(ii). The product will include a variety of waste plastic products that will provide a durable, alternative to hardwood, or galvanised steel, that has the ability to be recycled at its end of use.</p>	s 9(2)(b)(ii)	s 9(2)(b)(ii)	Not recommended for funding	<p>Option 1: Agree with the Panel and decline the application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Or</p>
-------------------------------	----------	--	----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	---

Applicant	Location	Project outline and Panel comments (project title, brief project description, summary of panel comments)	Total Cost	WMF \$ applied for	Recommendation (endorsed by the Ministry)	Minister decision (✓one option for each application)
		<p>Panel Comments:</p> <p><i>Interesting and innovative concept dealing with a valid waste stream.</i></p> <p><i>No indication that the concept has been market tested or whether there are any customers for the product.</i></p> <p><i>No information about engagement with the industry as a first step.</i></p> <p><i>Unclear whether the application has the capability to carry out this project.</i></p> <p><i>The Panel notes that the business is a start-up.</i></p> <p><i>Lack of detail on financials, and disproportionately high costs for some categories and it was difficult to determine legitimacy from application.</i></p> <p><i>Supporting letters from partners identified have not been included.</i></p>				<p>Option 2: Fund the application <input type="checkbox"/></p>